Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Jun Li, City University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong SAR, China

REVIEWED BY Tingting Zheng, Technical University of Munich, Germany Almagul Kushugulova, Nazarbayev University, Kazakhstan

*CORRESPONDENCE Xiangyu Zhang Xiangyuzhang@csu.edu.cn

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Intestinal Microbiome, a section of the journal Frontiers in Cellular and Infection Microbiology

RECEIVED 19 December 2022 ACCEPTED 06 February 2023 PUBLISHED 24 February 2023

CITATION

Peng J, Gong H, Lyu X, Liu Y, Li S, Tan S, Dong L and Zhang X (2023) Characteristics of the fecal microbiome and metabolome in older patients with heart failure and sarcopenia. *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol.* 13:1127041. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2023.1127041

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Peng, Gong, Lyu, Liu, Li, Tan, Dong and Zhang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Characteristics of the fecal microbiome and metabolome in older patients with heart failure and sarcopenia

Jieting Peng¹, Hui Gong¹, Xing Lyu², Yang Liu¹, Shizhen Li¹, Shengyu Tan¹, Lini Dong¹ and Xiangyu Zhang¹*

¹Department of Geriatrics, The Second Xiangya Hospital, Central South University, Changsha, Hunan, China, ²Laboratory of Clinical Medicine, The Second Xiangya Hospital, Central South University, Changsha, Hunan, China

Background: Increasing evidence supports that gut microbiota plays an important role in the development of cardiovascular diseases. The prevalence of sarcopenia is increasing in patients with heart failure. Muscle wasting is an independent predictor of death in heart failure patients.

Aims: In this study, we aimed to explore the characteristics of gut microbiota and metabolites in heart failure patients with or without sarcopenia.

Methods: Fecal samples of 33 heart failure patients without sarcopenia, 29 heart failure patients with sarcopenia, and 15 controls were collected. The intestinal microbiota was analyzed using 16S rRNA sequencing and the metabolites were detected using the gas chromatography-mass spectrometry method.

Results: There were significant differences in the overall microbial community structure and diversity between control and heart failure patients with or without sarcopenia. However, no clear clustering of samples was observed in heart failure with and without sarcopenia patients. Several bacterial, particularly *Nocardiaceae, Pseudonocardiaceae, Alphaproteobacteria,* and *Slackia* were significantly enriched in the heart failure patients without sarcopenia. Isobutyric acid, isovaleric acid, and valeric acid were lower in heart failure patients with sarcopenia than that without sarcopenia but lacked significance.

Conclusions: This study demonstrates that there are differences in the gut microbiota between control individuals and heart failure patients with or without sarcopenia. Modulating the gut microbiota may be a new target for the prevention and treatment of sarcopenia in heart failure patients.

KEYWORDS

sarcopenia, heart failure, gut microbiota, short-chain fatty acids, 16S rRNA sequencing

1 Introduction

Along with population aging, geriatric syndromes have become a major healthcare problem worldwide. Sarcopenia is one of the most challenging geriatric syndromes with multiple contributing factors. It has been described as an age-related decline in skeletal muscle mass as well as muscle function, which could lead to a decrease in physical capability, falls, disability, and higher mortality in older people (Cruz-Jentoft et al., 2010). On the other hand, chronic heart failure (CHF) remains one of the most important healthcare problems, with high costs and poor outcomes. The prevalence of heart failure (HF) is more than 10% among people aged ≥70 years. Sarcopenia is a common complication of CHF patients and the prevalence of sarcopenia in CHF patients was nearly 20% (Fulster et al., 2013). The prognosis of HF with sarcopenia is worse than that of patients without sarcopenia (Narumi et al., 2015). A prospective cohort study revealed that sarcopenia was significantly linked with a cardiac event in the New York Heart Association Class II-IV group (Zhang et al., 2021). In addition, a multicenter prospective cohort study indicated that sarcopenia was an independent predictor of 1-year mortality in both ejection fraction preserved and reduced HF patients (Konishi et al., 2021).

More than 1000 different bacterial species are observed in the gut. The diversity of the bacterial species plays a major role in maintaining homeostasis (Przewlocka et al., 2020). The composition of gut microbiota is proven to be dynamic due to dietary changes, age, disease, and so on (Marti et al., 2017). The gut microbiome is being increasingly recognized as a modulator of atherosclerosis, hypertension, atrial fibrillation, and myocardial fibrosis and contributes to the development of these diseases (Peng et al., 2018). Accumulating evidences suggest that gut microbiota has a significant impact on skeletal muscle metabolism and is related to the development of HF (Branchereau et al., 2019; Lahiri et al., 2019). Gut microbiotaderived micronutrients and metabolites such as lipopolysaccharide, trimethylamine N-oxide, short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs), and secondary bile acids can reach and act on muscle (Liu et al., 2021). Gut microbiome affects HF by generating bioactive metabolites that can impact host physiology (Tang et al., 2019). Numerous studies have demonstrated the existence of the gut microbiome-muscle axis and heart-gut microbiome axis (Liao et al., 2020; Madan and Mehra, 2020). Germ-free mice had less skeletal muscle mass than pathogen-free mice when fed the same food (Lahiri et al., 2019). Besides, gut microbiota influenced HF mainly by affecting immunity and inflammation (Yuzefpolskaya et al., 2020). Modulating the microbiota through dietary intervention, probiotics or prebiotics supplements, may be a novel strategy against muscle aging and HF.

SCFAs including acetate, propionate, butyrate, isovalerate, valerate, and caproate, are produced by microbial fermentation of fiber and prebiotics in the colon and mediate the interaction among diet, microbiota, and the host (Kimura et al., 2014). A study found that treating germ-free mice with SCFAs partially reversed muscle atrophy induced by dexamethasone (Lahiri et al., 2019).

Professional athletes had relatively increased SCFAs compared with more sedentary subjects (Barton et al., 2018). SCFAs influence HF by regulating T-cell proliferation and exerting antiinflammatory effects (Mamic et al., 2021) and proved to be an efficient energy source during pathological stress in the failing heart (Carley et al., 2021). Meanwhile, SCFAs were reported to have a positive impact on reducing cardiac hypertrophy and pressure overload (Pakhomov and A. Baugh, 2021).

Emerging evidences have shown the association between gut microbiota and sarcopenia. Sarcopenia may accelerate the progression of HF and increase the mortality of patients with HF. However, there has no standard treatment for slowing muscle loss in patients with HF. It is not clear whether alterations in gut microbiota and metabolites are related to sarcopenia in HF patients. This study is the first to explore the changes of gut microbiota composition and SCFAs levels in HF patients with or without sarcopenia.

2 Methods

2.1 Study participants

Seventy-seven patients aged \geq 65 years old with similar diet and environmental conditions in the Second Xiangya Hospital of Central South University were enrolled in this study. Patients were classified into the following 3 categories: 33 HF patients without sarcopenia (HF group), 29 HF patients with sarcopenia (SHF group), and 15 control individuals (Control group). Sarcopenia was diagnosed according to the Asian Working Group for Sarcopenia 2019 Guidelines (Chen et al., 2020). Low skeletal muscle mass was defined as muscle mass $< 7.0 \text{ kg/m}^2$ (male) or < 5.7kg/m² (female) by bioelectrical impedance analysis using the InBodyS10 body composition analyzer (Chen et al., 2014). Low muscle strength was defined as handgrip strength <28 kg for male and <18 kg for female. Criteria for low physical performance is a 6m walk speed < 1 m/s. Sarcopenia was defined as low muscle mass plus either diminished muscle strength or physical performance. Exclude subjects included recurrent diarrhea or constipation, unusual dietary habits (vegetarians), edema, those with tumors, diabetes, intestinal inflammation, irritable bowel syndrome, history of intestinal surgery, being treated with antibiotics or probiotics within 1 month. Demographic characteristics and clinical laboratory examinations were documented for all patients. The study was approved by the local Ethics Committee of the Second Xiangya Hospital of Central South University. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants. This study was conducted under the Declaration of Helsinki.

2.2 Fecal samples collection

Fecal samples of the patients were collected within one hour of excretion in the morning during hospitalization and stored at -80°C in preservation tubes before being delivered to the detected center.

2.3 16S rRNA gene sequencing

Microbial genomic DNA of fecal samples was extracted by the Quant-iT PicoGreen dsDNA Assay Kit (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The purity and integrity of total DNA were detected using the NanoDrop NC-2000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, United States) and 1.2% agarose gel electrophoresis. Hypervariable regions V3–V4 of the 16S rRNA gene were amplified by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) using the primer ACTCCTACGGGAGGCAGCA and GGACTACHVGGGTWTCTAAT and sequenced by the NovaSeqPE250 according to the manufacturer's manuals.

2.4 Fecal short-chain fatty acid analysis

SCFAs quantification was evaluated by the gas chromatographymass spectrometry method (GC-MS). An appropriate stool sample was taken and 50 μ L 15% phosphoric acid, 100 μ L 125 μ g/mL isocaproic acid, and 400 μ L ether were added; then placed in a high-throughput tissue grinder and grind at 55 Hz for 60 s twice. The samples were centrifuged and the supernatant was collected. The mixture was briefly vortexed before GC-MS analysis. A Thermo TRACE, 1310-ISQ LT GC-MS system (Thermo, USA) was used to perform the analysis.

2.5 Bioinformatics analysis

The DADA2 plugin is used for quality filtering, denoising, merging and removing chimeras of sequences. Data were filtered by removing low-quality reads. Briefly, the entire sequence was removed if the average quality < 20 and the read length after truncation was 75% lower than the original read length. Primer/ Adaptor- containing reads, N-containing reads were also removed to obtain high-quality clean data. After quality control, the raw data were filed, and the optimized sequences were identified, and then UPARSE (version7.1) were used to assign the raw sequences to operational taxonomic units (OTUs) based on OTUs having≥97% similarity. Each OTU is considered to represent each taxonomic level, i.e., kingdom, phylum, class, order, family, and genus. By comparing the RDP Classifier algorithm (http://rdp.cme.msu.edu/) with the Silva (SSU123) 16S rRNA database, the taxonomy of each 16S rRNA gene sequence was analyzed based on a 70% confidence threshold. Bioinformatics analyses were conducted using QIIME (version 1.9.1). The diversity within the gut community was assessed by alpha diversity and beta diversity. Alpha diversity indices include the Chao1 richness estimator, Shannon, and Inverse Simpson (Chen et al., 2021). Beta diversity includes principal coordinate analysis (PCoA) plots and nonmetric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) which were created to visualize the structural variation of microbial communities between different groups. Additionally, to further detect differentially abundant taxa in the community structure (phylum and genus) between the groups of samples, the linear discriminant analysis effect size (LEfSe) method was used to compare the differences in the taxonomic levels.

2.6 Statistical analyses

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 25.0 software and R (3.0.2). Normal distribution data, non-normal distribution data and categorical data were expressed as mean \pm standard deviation (SD), median with interquartile range and number (%) respectively. Normal distribution data were analyzed using Student's t-test between two groups, non-normal distribution data were analyzed using Mann Whitney U-test. Statistical analysis of beta diversity among different groups was conducted using the adonis test. Kruskal-Wallis tests were applied to determine the statistical differences in the relative abundance of OTUs and alpha diversity indexes between different groups (P< 0.05). Correlations between SCFAs and different microbiota were evaluated using Spearman rank correlation, and presented as a heatmap.

3 Results

3.1 Demographic and clinical characteristics of the subjects

Clinical characteristics including sex, age, history of smoking and drinking, blood lipid parameters, and history of the disease and medication were obtained from medical records. Body mass index (BMI) in the SHF group was significantly lower than that in the control and HF groups, while ALT and Cr were significantly higher in the HF group than that in the control group. The percentages of diuretic and angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor/angiotensin receptor blocker use in the control group were significantly lower than that in the HF and SHF groups. There were no significant differences in sex, age, WBC, RBC, AST, percentages of smoking and drinking, percentages of β -receptor blocker, statin, and calcium channel blocker use among the three groups (Table 1).

The body composition parameters and echocardiographic data of the three groups were compared. There were no significant differences among the control, HF, and SHF groups in the visceral adipose area, body fat, left ventricular end-diastolic diameter (LVEDd), right atrial diameter (RAD), and right ventricular end-diastolic diameter (RVEDd). The HF and SHF groups had significantly higher N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide (NT-proBNP) levels, left atrial diameter (LAD), and lower left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) compared to the control group (Table 2). Basic metabolic rate, bone mineral content, upper arm circumference, and SMI were significantly lower in the SHF group when compared to the control and HF groups (p<0.05) (Table 2). The results are consistent with the characteristics of HF and sarcopenia patients.

TABLE 1 The demographic and clinical characteristics of the subjects.

	HF (n=33)	SHF (n=29)	Control (n=15)	Р
Sex (Male, %)	24 (72.70%)	13 (44.80%)	8 (53.30%)	0.076
Age (years)	71.76 ± 7.93	75.14 ± 8.18	67.67 ± 9.76	0.059
BMI (kg/m ²)	24.24 ± 2.81 ^{###}	20.27 ± 3.75	23.52 ± 3.12 [#]	0.000
WBC (×10 ⁹ /L)	5.56 (4.83, 6.29)	5.16 (4.35, 7.25)	4.90 (4.14, 5.99)	0.437
RBC (×10 ⁹ /L)	4.05 ± 0.84	4.00 ± 0.54	4.28 ± 0.42	0.300
ALT (IU/L)	19.80 (16.90, 23.30)*	15.10 (11.00, 30.70)	13.10 (11.10, 15.60)	0.002
AST (IU/L)	17.60 (11.80, 23.20)	20.90 (14.90, 36.00)	15.70 (14.30, 17.65)	0.055
Cr (µmol/L)	97.00 (77.20, 112.30)*	83.00 (63.30, 122.50)	73.00 (58.00, 89.00)	0.018
Smoking, n (%)	14 (42.40%)	11 (37.90%)	5 (33.30%)	0.827
Drinking, n (%)	11 (32.30%)	9 (31.00%)	2 (13.30%)	0.340
Diuretic, n (%)	20 (60.60%)	11 (37.90%)	NA	NA
ACEI/ARB, n (%)	25 (75.80%)***	22 (75.90%)***	2 (13.30%)	0.000
β-receptor blocker, n (%)	23 (69.70%)	25 (86.20%)	8 (46.70%)	0.059
Statin, n (%)	27 (81.80%)	25 (86.20%)	11 (73.30%)	0.577
CCB, n (%)	6 (18.18%)	9 (31.03%)	5 (33.33%)	0.396

BMI, body mass index; WBC, white blood cell count; RBC, red blood cell count; ALT, alanine transaminase; AST, aspartate transaminase; Cr, creatinine. ACEI/ARB, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor/angiotensin receptor blocker. CCB, calcium channel blocker. NA, not available. *p < 0.05, ***p < 0.001 compared to control group. #p < 0.05, ##p < 0.001 compared to SHF group.

3.2 Alpha and beta diversity of gut microbiota

The gut microbial composition was compared in three groups. There were significant differences among the control, HF group, and SHF group in diversity (Observed-species index and Chao1) and abundance (Simpson and Shannon indexes) (Figure 1A). These indexes were similar between the HF group and the SHF group. Beta diversity reflects the between-habitat diversity in microbial community structure assessed using Bray and Curtis distances. Axis.1 and Axis.2 explained 7.9% and 6.2% of the variation in microbiota, respectively. Significant separations were found between the control group with HF or SHF group (p = 0.002). No significant differences were observed in microbial community

TABLE 2 Body composition and cardiac parameters of the subjects.

	HF (n=33)	SHF (n=29)	Control (n=15)	Р
Visceral adipose area	84.00 (68.55, 102.80)	67.30 (55.05, 93.25)	70.10(51.60, 89.95)	0.096
Basic metabolic rate	1397.00 (1268.00, 1515.00) ###	1151.00 (1073.00, 1298.50)	1280.00 (1230.00, 1582.00) ##	0.004
Body fat (%)	26.33 ± 7.91	25.61 ± 9.78	25.11 ± 6.85	0.758
Bone mineral content	2.65 ± 0.35 ^{###}	2.29 ± 0.40	$2.66 \pm 0.46^{\#}$	0.000
Upper arm circumference	30.13 ± 2.98 ^{###}	26.47 ± 2.49	29.83 ± 2.96 ^{##}	0.000
SMI	7.42 ± 0.93 ^{###}	5.73 ± 0.77	$7.14 \pm 1.02^{\#}$	0.000
NT-pro BNP	1084.00 (372.00,3200.00)***	1424.00 (514.00, 4830.00)***	73.60 (27.10, 120.00)	0.000
LVEDd	49.76 ± 7.72	47.66 ± 8.50	44.87 ± 3.52	0.123
LAD	39.27 ± 7.25**	39.14 ± 6.47**	31.73 ± 6.81	0.001
RVEDd	30.30 ± 3.44	30.48 ± 4.56	28.13 ± 1.51	0.073
RAD	30.00 (28.00, 36.00)	31.00 (26.50, 36.50)	28.00 (27.00, 29.50)	0.081
LVEF (%)	57.00 (39.50, 61.50)*	55.00 (38.00, 60.00)*	63.00 (60.00, 65.00)	0.010

SMI, skeletal muscle index; NT-pro BNP, N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide; LVEDd, left ventricular end-diastolic diameter; LAD, left atrial diameter; RAD, right atrial diameter; RVEDd, right ventricular end-diastolic diameter; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001 compared to control group. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001 compared to control group. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001 compared to SHF group.



composition between HF and SHF group (p = 0.641) (Figure 1B). These findings suggested gut microbial dysbiosis in HF and SHF groups compared to the control group.

3.3 Gut microbiota composition at phylum and genus levels

As shown in Figure 2A, each ellipse represents a group, the overlapping area between the ellipses indicates the shared OTU between the groups and the number indicates the number of OTUs. There were, 1755 shared OTUs in the three groups, while 6302, 11852, and, 10230 OTUs were unique to the control, HF, and SHF groups respectively. Similar to previous studies, the microbial communities in the human gut mainly belong to Firmicutes, Bacteroidetes, Proteobacteria, Actinobacteria, and Verrucomicrobia (Figure 2B). At the phylum level, Firmicutes and Bacteroidetes were more abundant in the control group than in the HF and SHF group. In the HF and SHF groups, the relative abundance of Proteobacteria and Actinobacteria was higher than that in the control group (Figure 2B). At the genus level, Faecalibacterium, Blautia, and Prevotella were more abundant in the control group than in the HF and SHF groups (Figure 2C). Compared with the SHF group, the HF group displayed a higher abundance of Shigella, Bacteroides, Streptococcus, Gemmiger, and Akkermansia, while lesser in Lactobacillus, Bifidobacterium, Enterococcus (Figure 2C).

3.4 Different abundance of gut microbiota in the three groups

LEfSe analysis based on the Linear Discriminant Analysis (LDA) score was used to measure the unique microbial characteristics that distinguished each group. The results showed that the *Nocardiaceae*, *Pseudonocardiaceae*, *Leuconostocaceae*, and *Alphaproteobacteria*, *Slackia* were significantly more enriched in the HF group when compared to the control group (Figures 3A, B). Meanwhile, the *Synergistetes* was significantly enriched in the SHF

versus the HF group (Figures 4A, B). Furthermore, compared with the HF and SHF groups, the control group had a higher abundance of *Clostridia*, *Faecalibacterium*, *Peptostreptococcaceae*, and *Prevotellaceae* (Figures 3A, B).

3.5 Fecal levels of SCFAs in Control, HF, and SHF groups

The concentrations of SCFAs were assessed in feces. Fecal levels of acetic acid, propionate acid, butyric acid, and caproic acid were similar in the three groups (Table 3). The levels of isobutyric acid, isovaleric acid, and valeric acid were lower in the SHF group compared with that in the HF and control group but has no significant differences (Table 3).

3.6 Relationship between the gut microbiota and clinical parameters with SCFAs

An association analysis was conducted to examine whether the microbiota is associated with SCFA production in all subjects. The results showed *Tenericutes* and *Bacteroidetes* were positively associated with most of SCFAs production. *Verucomicrobia* was positively correlated with Valeric acid and Isovaleric acid. The abundance of *Chlamydiae* was positively associated with caproic acid (Figure 5). In addition, a positive correlation was found between SMI and bone mineral content (Figure 6) (r = 0.709, p < 0.001).

4 Discussion

In this study, we first presented the composition of gut microbiota, SCFA levels, and their relationship in HF patients with and without sarcopenia and controls. We found that overall microbial diversity (alpha-diversity) and community structure



(beta-diversity) were significantly different between the control individuals and HF patients with or without sarcopenia, while no difference between the HF patients with sarcopenia and HF patients without sarcopenia. The Nocardiaceae, Pseudonocardiaceae, Leuconostocaceae, Alphaproteobacteria, and Slackia were found to be more abundant in HF individuals without sarcopenia. Conversely, the Synergistetes showed a higher abundance in HF patients with sarcopenia. In addition, the levels of isobutyric acid, isovaleric acid, and valeric acid were lower in HF patients with sarcopenia compared with that in the HF patients without sarcopenia and control group but has no significant differences.

Microbiota diversity has been proposed as a health biomarker. Diversity analyses indicated a difference in gut microbiota composition between the control group and HF patients with or without sarcopenia in the current study. Loss of gut flora biodiversity is associated with HF patients with and without sarcopenia. Based on a metagenomics study of patients with sarcopenia (Ticinesi et al., 2020), we expected that alpha-diversity and beta-diversity would be reduced in HF patients with sarcopenia compared to HF patients without sarcopenia, but the results exhibited that there were no significant differences between these two groups in our study population.

The main bacterial phyla in the gut are Firmicutes, Bacteroidetes, Actinobacteria, and Proteobacteria, which constitute the vast majority of the dominant human intestinal flora (Arumugam et al., 2011). The results were the same in our study. Some studies have examined gut microbiome differences in HF patients compared to control individuals (Sun et al., 2021). Likewise, we found significant differences in the composition of gut microbiome between control and HF patients with or without sarcopenia. In line with the study by Elena et al (Gutierrez-Calabres et al., 2020), Firmicutes and Bacteroidetes were the two most abundant phyla in control individuals. Proteobacteria are gramnegative bacteria, with the outer membrane mainly composed of lipopolysaccharide (LPS). The majority of the Proteobacteria phylum are pathogenic bacteria, it is thought that they serve as a microbial signature of dysbiosis in gut microbiota (Shin et al., 2015). An elevated level of Actinobacteria in cardiovascular diseases has been reported (Khan et al., 2022). Consistent with these findings, the relative abundance of Proteobacteria and Actinobacteria were higher in HF patients with and without sarcopenia than that in control individuals.

Differential abundances of certain bacterial taxa were observed in HF patients without sarcopenia compared with the control. Specifically, in line with previous studies, the abundance of



Nocardiaceae which is associated with steroid hormone synthesis (Sherman et al., 2018), was found to be increased in HF patients without sarcopenia in our study. *Pseudonocardiaceae, Slackia*, and *Alphaproteobacteria* belong to the phylum *Actinobacteria* and *Proteobacteria*, respectively. *Proteobacteria* and *Actinobacteria* have an impact on the prognosis of patients with HF by influencing the production of trimethylamine-N-oxide (Bin-Jumah et al., 2021). The increased abundance of *Proteobacteria* and *Actinobacteria* and *Actinobacteria* and *Actinobacteria* have an impact on the prognosis of patients with HF by influencing the production of trimethylamine-N-oxide (Bin-Jumah et al., 2021). The increased abundance of *Proteobacteria* and *Actinobacteria* have also discovered in HF patients without

sarcopenia in our study. Based on LEfSe analyses, *Synergistetes* were found abundant in the HF patients with sarcopenia. *Synergistetes* was correlated with infection and enriched in HF patients with preserved ejection fraction (Huang et al., 2021; Zhu et al., 2022). Increasing evidence demonstrated that *Synergistetes* is associated with periodontal diseases, which is prevalent in sarcopenia patients (Belibasakis et al., 2013; Hatta and Ikebe, 2021). It may be a biomarker of HF patients with sarcopenia that influences the progression of sarcopenia in HF patients. *Clostridia*,



TABLE 3 Fecal levels of SCFAs in Control, HF, and SHF groups.

	HF (n=33)	SHF (n=29)	Control (n=15)	Р
Acetic acid	1286.28 ± 661.61	1303.31 ± 653.97	1301.65 ± 556.98	0.970
Propanoic acid	763.30 ± 494.90	646.18 ± 395.50	637.59 ± 306.94	0.715
Isobutyric acid	92.05 (53.80, 158.67)	71.57 (27.85, 130.96)	104.43 (63.78, 132.84)	0.453
Butyric acid	764.32 ± 689.10	624.64 ± 451.59	502.65 ± 328.88	0.689
Isovaleric acid	108.56 (45.30, 187.76)	68.41 (26.25, 121.89)	102.50 (59.15, 133.87)	0.336
Valeric acid	116.89 (43.19, 198.58)	88.64 (14.02, 193.55)	101.00 (19.04, 154.88)	0.516
Caproic acid	4.04 (1.62, 24.29)	2.86 (0.66, 7.70)	2.94 (1.40, 5.37)	0.244



Faecalibacterium, Peptostreptococcaceae, and *Prevotellaceae* were believed to be probiotic species that enriched the fecal microbiomes of control.

Changes in the microbiota of those suffering from various diseases have been associated with reduced diversity of bacteria and the amount of SCFAs in the feces (Machiels et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2014). SCFAs are produced by the gut microbiota metabolizing dietary fiber and undigested carbohydrates. Alterations in fecal



SCFAs may lead to dysbiosis of the intestinal microbiota and inflammatory changes. Muscle mass and strength were increased in germ-free mice fed acetate, propionate, and butyrate (Lahiri et al., 2019). SCFAs, particularly butyric acid, can improve muscle atrophy during the aging process (E Walsh et al., [[NoYear]]). Our data suggested that there were no significant differences in SCFAs in fecal samples among the three groups. This was in contrast with our hypothesis. Treatment-related confounders in the HF patients with and without sarcopenia cannot be entirely ruled out.

Correlation analysis showed a positive correlation between SCFAs and SCFA-producing bacteria. Different SCFAs are known to be produced by various bacteria. For example, Bacteroides spp. produced acetate and propionate (Koh et al., 2016). The abundances of the Verrucomicrobia were increased in mice feeding with the butyrate and SCFA mix water (Lee et al., 2022). Metabolism and function of skeletal muscle are susceptible to changes in the gut microbiota, this association appears to be partially mediated by the generation of SCFAs. SCFAs have been proven to influence carbohydrate, lipid, and protein metabolism in skeletal muscle tissues both in vitro and in vivo (Frampton et al., 2020). Meanwhile, SCFAs inhibit systemic inflammation by binding to G-protein receptors on the surface of the cells and enhance myocardial energy metabolism, which plays a cardioprotective role (Borchers and Pieler, 2010). Moreover, a study on older Koreans found a positive correlation between sarcopenia and bone mineral content (Kim et al., 2014). Lower bone mass may have effects on

falls and fracture risk in sarcopenia patients (Gandham et al., 2021). In our study, bone mineral content was positively correlated with SMI. HF patients with sarcopenia had also significantly lower bone mineral content compared with HF patients without sarcopenia.

There are some limitations in our study, including the small sample size, and the lack of detailed information about dietary intake and physical activity. Although all participants were from the same region and had similar dietary habits, we were still unable to fully rule out the influence of diet on gut microbiota and SCFAs. Furthermore, this is a single-center clinical study and may have a selection bias. In addition, a cross-sectional research is difficult to determine the causal relationships. Larger cohort studies are needed to explore the effect of gut flora on sarcopenia in HF patients and whether modulating the gut microbiota and metabolites can alter the natural course of sarcopenia in HF patients.

5 Conclusion

In summary, the preliminary study suggests that HF patients with and without sarcopenia differ from control subjects in intestinal microbial composition. *Nocardiaceae*, *Pseudonocardiaceae*, and the *Alphaproteobacteria*, *Slackia* were significantly enriched in HF patients without sarcopenia. *Synergistetes* may be biomarkers of HF patients with sarcopenia. Modulating the gut microbiota may be a new target for the prevention and treatment of sarcopenia in heart failure patients.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/supplementary material. Further inquiries can contact the corresponding author. The data presented in the study are deposited in the NCBI repository, accession number PRJNA930609.

Ethics statement

The study involving human participants was reviewed and approved by Ethics Committee of the Second Xiangya Hospital

References

Arumugam, M., Raes, J., Pelletier, E., Le Paslier, D., Yamada, T., Mende, D. R., et al. (2011). Enterotypes of the human gut microbiome. *Nature* 473, 174–180. doi: 10.1038/ nature09944

Barton, W., C Penney, N., Cronin, O., Garcia-Perez, I., G Molloy, M., Holmes, E., et al. (2018). The microbiome of professional athletes differs from that of more sedentary subjects in composition and particularly at the functional metabolic level. *Gut* 67, 625–633. doi: 10.1136/gutjnl-2016-313627

Belibasakis, G. N., Ozturk, V. O., Emingil, G., and Bostanci, N. (2013). Synergistetes cluster a in saliva is associated with periodontitis. *J. Periodontal Res.* 48, 727–732. doi: 10.1111/jre.12061

Bin-Jumah, M. N., Gilani, S. J., Hosawi, S., Al-Abbasi, F. A., Zeyadi, M., Imam, S. S., et al. (2021). Pathobiological relationship of excessive dietary intake of Choline/Lcarnitine: A TMAO precursor-associated aggravation in heart failure in sarcopenic patients. *Nutrients* 13(10):3453. doi: 10.3390/nu13103453 of Central South University. The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Author contributions

JP: Writing-Original Draft, Investigation, Methodology. HG: Visualization, Methodology. XL: Project administration, Funding acquisition. YL: Software, Date Curation. SL: Conceptualization, Validation. ST: Funding acquisition, Software. LD: Resources, Supervision. XZ: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Writing-Reviewing, and Editing. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

This work is supported by grants from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No., 82271625), The Key Research and Development Plan of Hunan Province (No., 2022SK2013), the Natural Science Foundation of Hunan Province (No., 2022JJ70063, No.2020JJ5823, No.2022JJ70051).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Borchers, A., and Pieler, T. (2010). Programming pluripotent precursor cells derived from xenopus embryos to generate specific tissues and organs. *Genes (Basel)* 1, 413–426. doi: 10.3390/genes1030413

Branchereau, M., Burcelin, R., and Heymes, C. (2019). The gut microbiome and heart failure: A better gut for a better heart. *Rev. Endocr. Metab. Disord.* 20, 407–414. doi: 10.1007/s11154-019-09519-7

Carley, A. N., Maurya, S. K., Fasano, M., Wang, Y., Selzman, C. H., Drakos, S. G., et al. (2021). Short-chain fatty acids outpace ketone oxidation in the failing heart. *Circulation* 143, 1797–1808. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.120.052671

Chen, L. K., Liu, L. K., Woo, J., Assantachai, P., Auyeung, T. W., Bahyah, K. S., et al. (2014). Sarcopenia in Asia: consensus report of the Asian working group for sarcopenia. *J. Am. Med. Dir Assoc.* 15, 95–101. doi: 10.1016/j.jamda. 2013.11.025

Chen, Z., Radjabzadeh, D., Chen, L., Kurilshikov, A., Kavousi, M., Ahmadizar, F., et al. (2021). Association of insulin resistance and type 2 diabetes with gut microbial diversity: A microbiome-wide analysis from population studies. *JAMA Netw. Open* 4, e2118811. doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.18811

Chen, L. K., Woo, J., Assantachai, P., Auyeung, T. W., Chou, M. Y., Iijima, K., et al. (2020). Asian Working group for sarcopenia: 2019 consensus update on sarcopenia diagnosis and treatment. *J. Am. Med. Dir Assoc.* 21, 300–307 e302. doi: 10.1016/j.jamda.2019.12.012

Cruz-Jentoft, A. J., Landi, F., Topinková, E., and Michel, J.-P. (2010). Understanding sarcopenia as a geriatric syndrome. *Curr. Opin. Clin. Nutr. Metab. Care* 13, 1–7. doi: 10.1097/MCO.0b013e328333c1c1

E Walsh, M., Bhattacharya, A., Sataranatarajan, K., Qaisar, R., Sloane, L., and M Rahman, Md. (2015). The histone deacetylase inhibitor butyrate improves metabolism and reduces muscle atrophy during aging. *Aging Cell* 14 (6), 957–970. doi: 10.1111/acel.12387

Frampton, J., Murphy, K. G., Frost, G., and Chambers, E. S. (2020). Short-chain fatty acids as potential regulators of skeletal muscle metabolism and function. *Nat. Metab.* 2, 840–848. doi: 10.1038/s42255-020-0188-7

Fulster, S., Tacke, M., Sandek, A., Ebner, N., Tschope, C., Doehner, W., et al. (2013). Muscle wasting in patients with chronic heart failure: results from the studies investigating co-morbidities aggravating heart failure (SICA-HF). *Eur. Heart J.* 34, 512–519. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehs381

Gandham, A., Mesinovic, J., Jansons, P., Zengin, A., Bonham, M. P., Ebeling, P. R., et al. (2021). Falls, fractures, and areal bone mineral density in older adults with sarcopenic obesity: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Obes. Rev.* 22, e13187. doi: 10.1111/obr.13187

Gutierrez-Calabres, E., Ortega-Hernandez, A., Modrego, J., Gomez-Gordo, R., Caro-Vadillo, A., Rodriguez-Bobada, C., et al. (2020). Gut microbiota profile identifies transition from compensated cardiac hypertrophy to heart failure in hypertensive rats. *Hypertension* 76, 1545–1554. doi: 10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.120.15123

Hatta, K., and Ikebe, K. (2021). Association between oral health and sarcopenia: A literature review. J. Prosthodont Res. 65, 131–136. doi: 10.2186/jpr.JPOR_2019_567

Huang, Z., Mei, X., Jiang, Y., Chen, T., and Zhou, Y. (2021). Gut microbiota in heart failure patients with preserved ejection fraction (GUMPTION study). *Front. Cardiovasc. Med.* 8. doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2021.803744

Khan, I., Khan, I., Kakakhel, M. A., Xiaowei, Z., Ting, M., Ali, I., et al. (2022). Comparison of microbial populations in the blood of patients with myocardial infarction and healthy individuals. *Front. Microbiol.* 13. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2022.845038

Kimura, I., Inoue, D., Hirano, K., and Tsujimoto, G. (2014). The SCFA receptor GPR43 and energy metabolism. Front. Endocrinol. (Lausanne) 5. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2014.00085

Kim, S., Won, C. W., Kim, B. S., Choi, H. R., and Moon, M. Y. (2014). The association between the low muscle mass and osteoporosis in elderly Korean people. *J. Korean Med. Sci.* 29, 995–1000. doi: 10.3346/jkms.2014.29.7.995

Koh, A., De Vadder, F., Kovatcheva-Datchary, P., and Backhed, F. (2016). From dietary fiber to host physiology: Short-chain fatty acids as key bacterial metabolites. *Cell* 165, 1332–1345. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2016.05.041

Konishi, M., Kagiyama, N., Kamiya, K., Saito, H., Saito, K., Ogasahara, Y., et al. (2021). Impact of sarcopenia on prognosis in patients with heart failure with reduced and preserved ejection fraction. *Eur. J. Prev. Cardiol.* 28, 1022–1029. doi: 10.1093/eurjpc/zwaa117

Lahiri, S., Kim, H., Garcia-Perez, I., Reza, M. M., Martin, K. A., Kundu, P., et al. (2019). The gut microbiota influences skeletal muscle mass and function in mice. *Sci. Transl. Med.* 11(502):eaan5662. doi: 10.1126/scitranslmed.aan5662

Lee, J. G., Lee, J., Lee, A. R., Jo, S. V., Park, C. H., Han, D. S., et al. (2022). Impact of short-chain fatty acid supplementation on gut inflammation and microbiota composition in a murine colitis model. *J. Nutr. Biochem.* 101, 108926. doi: 10.1016/j.jnutbio.2021.108926

Liao, X., Wu, M., Hao, Y., and Deng, H. (2020). Exploring the preventive effect and mechanism of senile sarcopenia based on "Gut-muscle axis". *Front. Bioeng Biotechnol.* 8. doi: 10.3389/fbioe.2020.590869

Liu, C., Cheung, W. H., Li, J., Chow, S. K., Yu, J., Wong, S. H., et al. (2021). Understanding the gut microbiota and sarcopenia: a systematic review. *J. Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle* 12, 1393–1407. doi: 10.1002/jcsm.12784

Machiels, K., Joossens, M., Sabino, J., De Preter, V., Arijs, I., Eeckhaut, V., et al. (2014). A decrease of the butyrate-producing species roseburia hominis and faecalibacterium prausnitzii defines dysbiosis in patients with ulcerative colitis. *Gut* 63, 1275–1283. doi: 10.1136/gutjnl-2013-304833

Madan, S., and Mehra, M. R. (2020). The heart-gut microbiome axis in advanced heart failure. J. Heart Lung Transplant 39, 891–893. doi: 10.1016/j.healun.2020.04.003

Mamic, P., Chaikijurajai, T., and Tang, W. H. W. (2021). Gut microbiome - a potential mediator of pathogenesis in heart failure and its comorbidities: State-of-the-art review. J. Mol. Cell Cardiol. 152, 105–117. doi: 10.1016/j.yjmcc.2020.12.001

Marti, J. M., Martinez-Martinez, D., Rubio, T., Gracia, C., Pena, M., Latorre, A., et al. (2017). Health and disease imprinted in the time variability of the human microbiome. *mSystems*. 2(2):e00144–16. doi: 10.1128/mSystems.00144-16

Narumi, T., Watanabe, T., Kadowaki, S., Takahashi, T., Yokoyama, M., Kinoshita, D., et al. (2015). Sarcopenia evaluated by fat-free mass index is an important prognostic factor in patients with chronic heart failure. *Eur. J. Intern. Med.* 26, 118–122. doi: 10.1016/j.ejim.2015.01.008

Pakhomov, N., and A. Baugh, J. (2021). The role of diet-derived short chain fatty acids in regulating cardiac pressure overload. *Am. J. Physiol. Heart Circ. Physiol.* 320 (2), H475–H486. doi: 10.1152/ajpheart.00573.2020

Peng, J., Xiao, X., Hu, M., and Zhang, X. (2018). Interaction between gut microbiome and cardiovascular disease. *Life Sci.* 214, 153–157. doi: 10.1016/j.lfs.2018.10.063

Przewłocka, K., Folwarski, M., Kazmierczak-Siedlecka, K., Skonieczna-Zydecka, K., and Kaczor, J. J. (2020). Gut-muscle AxisExists and may affect skeletal muscle adaptation to training. *Nutrients* 12(5):1451. doi: 10.3390/nu12051451

Sherman, S. B., Sarsour, N., Salehi, M., Schroering, A., Mell, B., Joe, B., et al. (2018). Prenatal androgen exposure causes hypertension and gut microbiota dysbiosis. *Gut Microbes* 9, 400–421. doi: 10.1080/19490976.2018.1441664

Shin, N. R., Whon, T. W., and Bae, J. W. (2015). Proteobacteria: microbial signature of dysbiosis in gut microbiota. *Trends Biotechnol.* 33, 496–503. doi: 10.1016/j.tibtech.2015.06.011

Sun, W., Du, D., Fu, T., Han, Y., Li, P., and Ju, H. (2021). Alterations of the gut microbiota in patients with severe chronic heart failure. *Front. Microbiol.* 12. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2021.813289

Tang, W. H. W., Li, D. Y., and Hazen, S. L. (2019). Dietary metabolism, the gut microbiome, and heart failure. *Nat. Rev. Cardiol.* 16, 137–154. doi: 10.1038/s41569-018-0108-7

Ticinesi, A., Mancabelli, L., Tagliaferri, S., Nouvenne, A., Milani, C., Del Rio, D., et al. (2020). The gut-muscle axis in older subjects with low muscle mass and performance: A proof of concept study exploring fecal microbiota composition and function with shotgun metagenomics sequencing. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 21(23):8946. doi: 10.3390/ijms21238946

Wang, W., Chen, L. P., Zhou, R., Wang, X. B., Song, L., Huang, S., et al. (2014). Increased proportions of bifidobacterium and the lactobacillus group and loss of butyrate-producing bacteria in inflammatory bowel disease. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 52 (2), 398–406. doi: 10.1128/JCM.01500-13

Yuzefpolskaya, M., Bohn, B., Nasiri, M., Zuver, A. M., Onat, D. D., Royzman, E. A., et al. (2020). Gut microbiota, endotoxemia, inflammation, and oxidative stress in patients with heart failure, left ventricular assist device, and transplant. *J. Heart Lung Transplant* 39, 880–890. doi: 10.1016/j.healun.2020.02.004

Zhang, Y., Zhang, J., Ni, W., Yuan, X., Zhang, H., Li, P., et al. (2021). Sarcopenia in heart failure: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *ESC Heart Fail.* 8, 1007–1017. doi: 10.1002/ehf2.13255

Zhu, Y. Y., Thakur, K., Feng, J. Y., Zhang, J. G., Hu, F., Cespedes-Acuna, C. L., et al. (2022). Riboflavin bioenriched soymilk alleviates oxidative stress mediated liver injury, intestinal inflammation, and gut microbiota modification in B2 depletion-repletion mice. J. Agric. Food Chem. 70, 3818–3831. doi: 10.1021/acs.jafc.2c00117