Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY George P. Munson, University of Miami, United States

REVIEWED BY Roberto Rosales-Reyes, National Autonomous University of Mexico, Mexico Guo-Chang Fan, University of Cincinnati, United States Simon Swift, The University of Auckland, New Zealand

*CORRESPONDENCE Elham Hosseini-Beheshti Elham.beheshti@sydney.edu.au

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Molecular Bacterial Pathogenesis, a section of the journal Frontiers in Cellular and Infection Microbiology

RECEIVED 14 June 2022 ACCEPTED 20 October 2022 PUBLISHED 11 November 2022

CITATION

Hosseini-Giv N, Basas A, Hicks C, El-Omar E, El-Assaad F and Hosseini-Beheshti E (2022) Bacterial extracellular vesicles and their novel therapeutic applications in health and cancer. *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol.* 12:962216. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2022.962216

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Hosseini-Giv, Basas, Hicks, FI-Omar FI-Assaad and Hosseini-Beheshti. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Bacterial extracellular vesicles and their novel therapeutic applications in health and cancer

Niloufar Hosseini-Giv^{1†}, Alyza Basas^{2†}, Chloe Hicks², Emad El-Omar², Fatima El-Assaad² and Elham Hosseini-Beheshti^{3,4}*

¹Department of Biology, Faculty of Science, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Mashhad, Iran, ²UNSW Microbiome Research Centre, St George and Sutherland Clinical Campuses, School of Clinical Medicine, Faculty of Medicine and Health, University of New South Wales, Sydney, NSW, Australia, ³School of Medical Sciences, Faculty of Medicine and Health, University of Sydney, Camperdown, NSW, Australia, ⁴The Sydney Nano Institute, The University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW, Australia

Bacterial cells communicate with host cells and other bacteria through the release of membrane vesicles known as bacterial extracellular vesicles (BEV). BEV are established mediators of intracellular signaling, stress tolerance, horizontal gene transfer, immune stimulation and pathogenicity. Both Grampositive and Gram-negative bacteria produce extracellular vesicles through different mechanisms based on cell structure. BEV contain and transfer different types of cargo such as nucleic acids, proteins and lipids, which are used to interact with and affect host cells such as cytotoxicity and immunomodulation. The role of these membranous microvesicles in host communication, intra- and inter-species cell interaction and signaling, and contribution to various diseases have been well demonstrated. Due to their structure, these vesicles can be easily engineered to be utilized for clinical application, as shown with its role in vaccine therapy, and could be used as a diagnostic and cancer drug delivery tool in the future. However, like other novel therapeutic approaches, further investigation and standardization is imperative for BEV to become a routine vector or a conventional treatment method.

KEYWORDS

bacterial extracellular vesicles, microvesicles, outer membrane vesicles, cell cargo, host interactions, infection, diagnosis, therapy

1 Introduction to bacterial extracellular vesicles

Adaptation and communication are critical factors for cell survival. The secretion of cytosolic compounds is essential for both intra- and inter-species cell interaction (Briaud and Carroll, 2020). All domains of life, including both prokaryotes and eukaryotes, produce membrane-derived lipid bilayer extracellular vesicles (EV) that have different cellular targets (Jahromi and Fuhrmann, 2021). Bacterial EV (BEV) are recognized as a type of bacterial secretion, and they are crucial mediators in intracellular signaling via the transfer of macromolecular cargoes (Jahromi and Fuhrmann, 2021). There are various subtypes of BEV known as membrane vesicles (MV), which are defined by their membrane origin and mode of release and have been described for both Grampositive and Gram-negative bacteria (Nagakubo et al., 2019).

There are many accepted roles for BEV, including pathogenesis (Park et al., 2013), inter-species, intra-species, and inter-kingdom communication (Brown et al., 2015), stress tolerance (Kim et al., 2020b), horizontal gene transfer (Chronopoulos and Kalluri, 2020), and immune stimulation (Zhou et al., 2020).

BEV are nanoparticles that are stable in physiological conditions and have the capacity to transfer biomolecules and

other cellular cargo. Due to such convenient properties of BEV, they could be utilized as a novel application for the treatment and diagnosis of disorders such as cancer and various infectious diseases (Jahromi and Fuhrmann, 2021). Despite the potential of engineering BEV for therapeutic goals, there are currently major limitations that should be addressed in future studies, one of which being a lack of standardized protocol for the purification and isolation of the various types of BEV and its cargo. Existing protocols for isolating BEV from human body fluids involve biophysical separation through methods of ultracentrifugation, ultrafiltration, and biochemical characterization such as sizeexclusion chromatography (Tulkens et al., 2020). Working with body fluids is inherently challenging, and such BEV isolation methods are a complex and lengthy process that requires troubleshooting due to inconsistency in results, and would benefit from standardization in the future to improve the scientific rigor of BEV studies (Tulkens et al., 2020).

1.1 Biogenesis

Prokaryotic EV are divided into Gram-negative BEV and Gram-positive BEV (Figure 1). Prokaryotic EV are divided into distinct categories; outer-membrane vesicles (OMV), outerinner membrane vesicles (O-IMV), cytoplasmic membrane



A summary of the pathways of extracellular vesicle biogenesis for Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria. The production of extracellular vesicles differs between Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria due to their different structures. There are 3 proposed models for the production of Gram-negative outer membrane vesicles, with the initial stage involving release from the bacterial envelope. Gram-positive bacteria lack an outer membrane, hence vesicles are formed through the budding of the cytoplasmic membrane and crossing of the cell wall. Gram-positive extracellular vesicles are synthesized either through penicillin-binding proteins and autolysins or genetic pathways.

vesicles (CMV) and tube-shaped membranous structures (TSMS) (Toyofuku et al., 2019). OMV are Gram-negative derived, hence the inner leaflet is made of phospholipid, and the outer leaflet consists of lipopolysaccharide (LPS), outer membrane proteins, and periplasmic proteins trapped during the budding of the membrane (Avila-Calderón et al., 2021). LPS, lipoproteins, outer membrane proteins, and flagellin are all molecules that have been proposed to contribute to OMV formation (Avila-Calderón et al., 2021). OMV cargo includes RNA, DNA, proteins, and virulence factors (Sjöström et al., 2015; Koeppen et al., 2016; Turnbull et al., 2016; Bitto et al., 2017). O-IMV are double-bilayer BEV originally described budding/blebbing from Shewanella vesiculosa (S. vesiculosa) cells, and specifically mediate the transfer of local intracellular components such as DNA during formation (Pérez-Cruz et al., 2013; Pérez-Cruz et al., 2015; Turnbull et al., 2016). Single and double-bilayer BEV can be formed via explosive cell lysis, in which cryptic prophage endolysin activity results in cell wall degradation or DNA damage giving rise to BEV formation (Turnbull et al., 2016; Toyofuku et al., 2017; Baeza et al., 2021).

Within MV, the proportion of O-IMV differs depending on the bacteria, ranging from 0.1% in *S. vesiculosa* M7-derived EV to 49% in *Pseudoalteromonas marina* (Pérez-Cruz et al., 2015). O-IMV were observed and quantified using transmission electron microscopy and cryo-transmission electron microscopy techniques (Pérez-Cruz et al., 2015). The third type, CMV, are released by Gram-positive bacteria (Toyofuku et al., 2019), though also seen in Gram-negative bacteria such as *Acidiphilium cryptum* JF-5 under stress conditions expressing vesicles derived from the cytoplasmic membrane (Küsel et al., 1999). In mycobacteria, it is proposed that its complex cell wall and surrounding capsule must undergo remodeling or degradation in order for MV to be freely released (Rodriguez and Prados-Rosales, 2016).

TSMS are the last type of BEV, and are known as nanotubes, nanowires, or nanopods. TSMS can be produced by both Grampositive or Gram-negative bacteria and play a role in the component exchange by acting as a bridge between different cells (Toyofuku et al., 2019). TSMS have an average tube width of 50-70 nm and connect cells within biofilms at the periplasmic level, facilitating social activities (Baidya et al., 2018). *Myxococcus xanthus* produce OMV chains and TSMS that interconnect cells, which can allow for the intercellular transfer of molecules such as membrane protein (Remis et al., 2014).

1.1.1 Gram-negative bacteria extracellular vesicles

It has been demonstrated that Gram-negative outer membrane vesicles (OMV) are released from the bacterial envelope (Volgers et al., 2018), which comprises of an inner glycerophospholipid bilayer membrane, a peptidoglycan layer, and an outer membrane (OM), with the periplasmic space

separating the outer and inner membrane (Henderson et al., 2016). OMV are released for several purposes including hostmicrobe interactions, and their size varies between 20-400 nm (Schwechheimer and Kuehn, 2015). The interaction between host and microbes is mediated by OMV cargoes, including lipids, LPS, different types of proteins, small molecules, peptidoglycan, and nucleic acids. Moreover, the transfer of virulence factors as cargoes are mediated by OMV for the access to host tissues by Gram-negative bacteria (Jan, 2017). They can also affect the biofilm formation and host cell function modulations (Cecil et al., 2019). This type of EV has been studied in numerous Gram-negative bacterial strains such as Escherichia coli (E. coli), Veillonella parvula, Vibrio cholerae (V. cholerae), Salmonella enterica, Aeromonas spp., Brucella melitensis, Porphyromonas gingivalis (P. gingivalis), and Neisseria meningitidis (N. meningitidis) (Zavan et al., 2020).

Three different models are suggested for OMV production (Figure 1): i) loss-of-function mutations result in deficient linkages between the OM and the periplasmic peptidoglycan layer, and the Tol-Pal complex, the periplasmic peptidoglycan layer and outer membrane proteins (Schwechheimer and Kuehn, 2013; Volgers et al., 2018). This model has been studied in *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium (*S.* Typhimurium), *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (*P. aeruginosa*), *E. coli*, *V. cholerae*, *Acinetobacter baumannii* and *Haemophilus influenzae* (*H. influenzae*) (Deatherage et al., 2009; Godlewska et al., 2009; Wessel et al., 2013; Roier et al., 2016).

ii) bacterial stress responses and accumulation of envelope components. As of now, three primary stress responses have been found to play a role in the production of EV: a) the sigma factor E (σ^{E}) response, b) the two-component regulator Cpx response, and c) the phage shock response (Volgers et al., 2018). This mechanism was also studied in E. coli and P. aeruginosa (Macdonald and Kuehn, 2013; Kim et al., 2015) iii) modulation of the OM: the OM's stability is heavily dependent on LPS interactions, which can be affected by LPS structure and number, and other external and internal factors, subsequently affecting OMV production. Such factors include cationic antimicrobial peptides such as polymyxin B, cations such as Mg²⁺, LPS phenotype, changes in expression of LPS biogenesis pathway enzymes, temperature changes, pH, NaCl, phosphate and sucrose concentration, oxygen stress, and activation of other pathways that affect vesiculation (e.g. ABC transporter and Tol-Pol system) (Volgers et al., 2018).

1.1.2 Gram-positive bacteria extracellular vesicles

Gram-positive BEV (CMV) range from 20-200 nm in diameter and are derived directly from the cytoplasmic membrane (Coelho et al., 2019). They were only recently recognized as it was previously assumed that Gram-positive bacteria could not release EV due to their thick peptidoglycan cell wall (Coelho et al., 2019). As such, investigations on CMV are very limited, and so mechanisms of their biogenesis are somewhat elusive.

The first stage of CMV production involves budding of the cytoplasmic membrane. Although the composition of the cytoplasmic membrane and CMV is similar, slight differences in their membranes have been reported, such as lipid components in *Bacillus anthracis* (*B. anthracis*) Sterne strain 34F2 bacterial cells (Rivera et al., 2010; Surve et al., 2016; Schlatterer et al., 2018). Based on this observation, it can be proposed that the CMV may undergo some changes within the cytoplasmic membrane. Some of these changes were studied in *Listeria monocytogenes* (*L. monocytogenes*) and *Streptococcus pyogenes* (*S. pyogenes*). Moreover, there may be species-specific mechanisms for the production of Gram-positive EV (Resch et al., 2016; Coelho et al., 2019; Briaud and Carroll, 2020).

The final stage of CMV production involves crossing through the cell wall. Penicillin-binding proteins (PBPs) and autolysins (cell wall proteins) were detected within some Grampositive BEV, including Staphylococcus aureus (S. aureus), B. anthracis, L. monocytogenes, S. pyogenes, Cutibacterium acnes (formerly Propionibacterium acnes), Filifactor alocis, and Mycobacterium spp. (Lee et al., 2009; Rivera et al., 2010; Prados-Rosales et al., 2011; Lee et al., 2013; Resch et al., 2016; Jeon et al., 2017; Kim et al., 2020b). In S. aureus, the level of peptidoglycan cross-linking is proposed to play a role in EV release. Mutations in PBP, and autolysin were each shown to increase EV production, reduce EV size, and increase release respectively (Wang et al., 2018). BEV have been isolated from Gram-positive bacteria during different growth phases, therefore vesiculation can be assumed to be a continuous process (Kim et al., 2016b).

There are very few studies investigating the genetic pathways underlying Gram-positive CMV release. In *S. pyogenes, L. monocytogenes*, and *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (*M. tuberculosis*), the inactivating mutation in the covS gene of the two-component responder/sensor gene regulatory system (CovRS), Δ sigB gene, and Pst/SenX3-RegX3 respectively have shown to play a role in the biogenesis of these BEV (Lee et al., 2013; Resch et al., 2016; White et al., 2018).

A complex gene network is also proven to be involved in Gram-positive CMV production, such as the deactivation of the *virR* gene which leads to hypervesiculation in *M*. tuberculosis (Rath et al., 2013). As aforementioned, further investigation is needed to understand the mechanisms involved in EV release by Gram-positive bacteria (Briaud and Carroll, 2020).

1.2 Cargoes

BEV are known to carry a variety of cellular cargo such as proteins, enzymes, DNA, RNA, peptidoglycan, and lipids (Chronopoulos and Kalluri, 2020; Ha et al., 2020).The capacity of BEV to transfer a wide variety of biological components makes them highly functional, and therefore they have become an area of great interest. Some of their functions include intraand inter-kingdom communication, nutrient transfer amongst bacterial communities, host immune stimulation or suppression, and self-protection through the removal of unwanted proteins (Gao and Van der Veen, 2020).

1.2.1 Nucleic acids

1.2.1.1 Ribonucleic acid (RNA)

RNA plays a role in biochemical reactions, gene expression regulation, destroying foreign genomes, and has high specificity for small molecules (Cech and Steitz, 2014). Extracellular RNA (exRNA) that affect recipient cells have been discovered in BEV; these messenger RNA (mRNA) and microRNA (miRNA) are transferred between cells *via* EV, and perform biological functions after entering host cells (Valadi et al., 2007). Bacterial RNAs have been detected in human plasma and as such, the immunostimulatory impacts of microbial RNAs on host cells and their molecular receptors have been studied for decades (Tsatsaronis et al., 2018).

The gut microbiome, including its composition, gene expression, growth, and shape, could be affected by miRNAs released by intestinal cells. However, recent evidence highlights that bacterial RNAs influence host cells (Table 1). Crosstalk between bacterial RNAs and host physiology was first studied in Caenorhabditis elegans (C. elegans). This study demonstrated that two E. coli endogenous non-coding small RNA (sRNA), OxyS and DsrA, could regulate the gene expression and physiology of C. elegans (Liu et al., 2012). These sRNA are expressed in E. coli under harsh environments and serve to protect the bacteria from overfeeding by C. elegans by activating or repressing gene expression (Liu et al., 2012). The gene suppression mediated by OxyS and DsrA resulted in impaired chemosensory behavior and decreased longevity in C. elegans (Liu et al., 2012). It was also proposed that Bacillus mycoides could also utilize non-coding RNA similar to OxyS to interfere with C. elegans gene expression and physiology (Liu et al., 2012). Various studies in eukaryotes have demonstrated that bacterial RNAs can alter other cells function and play a role in host immune response (Ghosal, 2017). However, it remains difficult to determine the exact composition of bacteria-derived RNAs, RNA trafficking, and mechanisms of host cell interaction (Ghosal, 2017). An RNA-binding protein, Hfq, which usually regulates the cytoplasmic mRNA translation by sRNA, was proposed to contribute to sRNA transfer (Malabirade et al., 2017) BEV have been proposed as a probable mechanism for intracellular RNA transport (Dauros-Singorenko et al., 2018).

It was formerly believed that free RNA were unstable structures due to host Rnases. However, studies have shown that bacterial RNA can be stable outside of cells, with evidence of detection in many human body fluids (Chim et al., 2008; Becknell and Spencer, 2016). Furthermore, pathogenic RNA can form a secondary structure that is resistant to Rnases and

Bacterial species/strain	Type of RNA	Effect on host cell	Reference
Aggregatibacter actinomycetemcomitans	exRNAs	Crossing the blood brain barriers as well as delivery of EVs to brain monocytes and microglial interleukin (IL)-6 promotion. Inhibits IL-5, IL-13, and IL-15 secretion in Jurkat T-cells	(Choi et al., 2018; Ha et al., 2020)
Borrelia burgdorferi	mRNA sRNA	Not evaluated	(Malge et al., 2018)
Escherichia coli	EV-RNA	No cytotoxic or inflammatory effects on cultured bladder cells, but suppressed IL-1a response when combined with LPS treatments	(Dauros-Singorenko et al., 2020)
Listeria monocytogenes	Mainly rRNA	Transfected RNA or direct incubation of rli32 deletion strain EVs contribute to inhibition of IFN-B (macrophages)	(Frantz et al., 2019)
Mycobacterium smegmatis	Not evaluated	Not evaluated	(Dauros Singorenko et al., 2017)
Neisseria gonorrhoeae	Not evaluated	Not evaluated	(Dorward et al., 1989; Biller et al., 2014)
Porphyromonas gingivalis	16S rRNA, mRNAs (mfa1, sod, and fimA)	Inhibits IL-5, IL-13, and IL-15 secretion in Jurkat T-cells	(Ho et al., 2015; Choi et al., 2017b)
Prochlorococcus marinus	rRNA	Not evaluated	(Biller et al., 2014)
Pseudomonas aeruginosa	tRNA, ncRNA, mRNA, rRNA, tmRNA	LPS-induced IL-8 mRNA, and MAPK signaling pathway, and IL-8 secretion were inhibited by sRNA52320 in host epithelial cells and	(Turnbull et al., 2016; Koeppen et al., 2016)
Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium	rRNA sRNA mRNA	Not evaluated	(Malabirade et al., 2018)
Staphylococcus aureus HG003	mRNAs sRNAs tRNAs and rRNAs	Not determined	(Luz et al., 2021)
Staphylococcus aureus subspecies aureus Rosenbach MSSA476	SsrA, RsaC, and RNAIII	Not determined	(Joshi et al., 2020)
Streptococcus pyogenes	rRNA tRNA	Not evaluated	(Resch et al., 2016)
Streptococcus sanguinis	msRNA	Not evaluated	(Choi et al., 2018)
Treponema denticola	msRNA	Inhibits IL-5, IL-13, and IL-15 secretion in Jurkat T-cells	(Choi et al., 2017b)
Vibrio cholerae	sRNA mRNA ncRNA	Not evaluated	(Sjöström et al., 2015)
Vibrio fischeri	sRNA SsrA	Upregulates genes coding for immune and antimicrobial activity, and reduced host cell robustness was observed	(Moriano-Gutierrez et al., 2020)

TABLE 1 Studies on different types of RNA released by bacteria and their effects on host cells. C

it has been proven that RNA stability could be enhanced by binding to protective carriers. Moreover, RNA packaged in a membranous shield has led to protection against environmental factors and enzymes. BEV facilitate the targeted delivery of RNA into cells, fusion to the cell membrane, and the uptake of BEV cargoes (Benmoussa et al., 2016; Dauros-Singorenko et al., 2018). It was proposed that BEV uptake occurs at different rates by various host cells and therefore it can be conceived that each cell shows selective preferences or ability to uptake BEV (Vdovikova et al., 2017).

1.2.1.2 Deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA)

It has been shown that in addition to RNA, EV from both prokaryotic and eukaryotic origins can harbor DNA. Horizontal gene transfer can be EV-based, occurring in both eukaryotes and prokaryotes. This DNA exchange directly impacts adaptation and evolution across species, stability and growth in different ecosystems and microbial populations, drug resistance, cooperation, and survival. Some limitations exist amongst known gene transfer mechanisms (transduction, transformation, and conjugation), including narrowed donorrecipient pairs in transduction, the small number of the possible natural recipients in transformation, and the limitation of genetic cargo amount in conjugation. Considering the massive interspecies gene transfer in the wild population, there is potential for another mechanism of gene transfer (Tran and Boedicker, 2017). There are some advantages to membranemediated DNA exchange, the most crucial factor being that released DNA remains intact when transported to the recipient cell. Thermus thermophilus release membrane-packaged DNAs, which are Dnase resistant and can target host cells, which can be helpful when targeting a specific microbial population or subpopulation (Ben-Hur et al., 2019). The role of vesicular-DNA in cell-to-cell communication and environmental tolerance is yet to be fully understood. Certain bacterial species produce and release vesicular-DNA, which is impacted by external and developmental conditions (Table 2).

Extracellular DNA (eDNA) was also studied in Helicobacter pylori (H. pylori), and Pseudomonas putida released during the biofilm phase. OMV aggregate in biofilm phenotypes, promoting its formation, and protecting H. pylori biofilms against Dnase I activity (Baumgarten et al., 2012; Grande et al., 2015). In Lactobacillus reuteri (L. reuteri), eDNA was found to be associated with both planktonic and biofilm phenotypes (Grande et al., 2017). A recent study used a flow cytometry technique to detect and quantify BEV eDNAassociated from L. reuteri and H. pylori, which suggests that BEV can be studied more effectively through flow cytometry (Puca et al., 2019). Plasmid transfer via BEV was also analyzed in Buttiauxella agrestis. In this study, naked plasmids were found to be degraded by Dnase I, while membrane-associated plasmids were stable and transferred to recipient cells successfully. Moreover, the naked plasmids could not transfer to host cells directly, therefore EV facilitated the transformation (Tashiro et al., 2017). However, Tran and Boedicker (2017) showed that plasmid identity could affect DNA packaging and transfer rates in E. coli, Enterobacter cloacae, and Aeromonas veronii. In addition, only genes with specialized sequences for gene trafficking were transferred, while E. coli DNA packaging did not depend on specialized sequences for gene transfer. The copy number of plasmids, their size, protein binding, location of each plasmid within the cell also impacted plasmid load into vesicles (Tran and Boedicker, 2017). In another study, chromosomal DNA packaging was investigated in H. pylori, P. aeruginosa, S. Typhimurium, and P. gingivalis. The packaging of the DNA into OMV was observed during the exponential phase of growth, with the majority of DNA located on the external surface of OMV and the remainder present in the vesicles (Bitto et al., 2017). Furthermore, in *H. influenzae* and *E. coli*, packaging DNA in MV for protection against degradation led to more uptake and genetic recombination (Vorkapic et al., 2016).

1.2.2 Protein

BEV can transfer different types of proteins including bioactive proteins, lipoproteins, and virulence factors such as LPS and cytotoxic molecules to improve bacterial proliferation and survival in host cells (Chen et al., 2022). In addition to outermembrane proteins, proteomic studies have suggested that inner-membrane and cytoplasmic components are present in OMV. The exact reason for their presence in OMV is still unknown.

1.2.2.1 Proteins and pathogenicity

The selective trafficking of virulence factors is considered a favorable property for pathogens (Schwechheimer and Kuehn, 2015). H. pylori is an excellent example: in this bacterium, OMV lacked the VirD4 component of the type IV secretion system, therefore virulence factors were more easily secreted by parent cells. Moreover, these OMV contained the protease HtrA, which increases survival of bacterial cells in the presence of misfolded proteins (Schwechheimer and Kuehn, 2013; Olofsson et al., 2014). Interestingly, proteins that play a role in pathogen adhesion were excluded from OMV, therefore competition with the bacterial cell for host interaction was lost. For instance, in H. pylori, two adhesion factors that play a role in bacterial colonization, blood group antigen-binding adhesin and sialic acid-binding adhesin, are present in smaller amounts in OMV (Schwechheimer and Kuehn, 2015). Selective envelope proteins were also studied in N. meningitidis, which mainly contain autotransporter, iron, zinc uptake regulatory proteins, and two-partner secretion systems (Schwechheimer and Kuehn, 2015). BEV can include a wide variety of proteins and protein distribution can differ among species. In S. aureus, 90 different vesicular proteins have been identified, of which 56.7% are cytoplasmic proteins, 16.7% are membrane proteins, and

TABLE 2 External and developmental conditions impacting the production of vesicular-DNA by certain bacterial species.

Bacterial species	External or developmental condition	Impact on vesicular-DNA production	Reference
Acinetobacter baumannii	Carbapenem presence	Transferring drug resistant gene	(Chatterjee et al., 2017)
Acinetobacter baylyi	Antibiotic treatment	Increase	(Fulsundar et al., 2014)
Helicobacter pylori, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Salmonella enterica serovar Ttyphimurium, Porphyromonas gingivalis	Exponential phase of bacterial growth	Packing into outer membrane vesicles	(Bitto et al., 2017)
Proteus mirabilis	Antibacterial agent	Vesicular cargo upgrade	(Ahmed et al., 2007)
Pseudomonas aeruginosa	Bacterial population reaches the threshold, Antibacterial drugs	Increase	(Allesen-Holm et al., 2006; Bitto et al., 2017)
Streptococcus mutans	During biofilm formation	Increase	(Liao et al., 2014)

23.3% are extracellular proteins (Lee et al., 2009). This type of cargo can help recipient cells compete with other bacteria, confer antibiotic resistance, and aid virulence factors trafficking (Lee et al., 2009).

1.2.2.2 Cargo sorting

It was shown that protein cargo sorting is a selective process in Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria (Bonnington and Kuehn, 2014; Brown et al., 2015; Tartaglia et al., 2020). It was believed initially that OMV components associate with the periplasm and OM, however it was found that OMV derived from Serratia marcescens and P. gingivalis did not contain any OM proteins and were instead enriched with several virulence factors or other proteins (McMahon et al., 2012; Veith et al., 2014). Gram-positive bacteria EV were enriched with lipoproteins. For example, Bacillus subtilis produces EV that contain lipoprotein and siderophore-binding proteins (Brown et al., 2014). Mycobacterium bovis and M. tuberculosis were demonstrated to release lipoprotein-enriched EV that can stimulate a Toll-like receptor (TLR) 2-dependent host response in mice (Prados-Rosales et al., 2011). EV derived from B. anthracis contain virulence factors specifically cytotoxic to macrophages, and P. gingivalis EV contain LPS and gingipains which results in the destruction of epithelial tissue once released (Chen et al., 2022). The protein components of S. aureus EV facilitate interbacterial protein transfer, antibiotic resistance and survival, and further biogenesis of EV (Lee et al., 2009).

Non-pathogenic BEV can also contain soluble proteins as a result of interaction with outer-membrane proteins or lipids. In E. coli, these soluble proteins were studied. When a carboxyterminal is added to a soluble protein, OMV formation and chimeric soluble cargo are stimulated. This residue is known to trigger the σ^{E} heat-shock responses of the envelope (McBroom and Kuehn, 2007). The P₄₉ protein secretion mechanism was studied in S. vesiculosa HM13 (Chen et al., 2019), which led to the proposal of a new strategy for cargo transport and delivery into BEV: that foreign proteins can transfer to BEV via fusion to P₄₉ protein. Suggested applications for protein cargoes of BEV include invasion, adherence, antibiotic resistance, damage to host cells, impact on host immune response, biofilm formation, virulence promotion, and interspecies communication (Bonnington and Kuehn, 2014). Further investigation is required to determine the exact mechanism of protein sorting and trafficking by BEV.

1.2.3 Lipids

One of the main components of BEV, alongside other biomolecules like DNA, RNA, proteins, and low molecular mass organic compounds, are lipids. Unlike other types of cargoes, limited research has been conducted on lipids in BEV. The most common lipid in Gram-negative EV are phosphoglycerolipids. Other lipids, including glycerolipids, lipoproteins, phospholipids, and LPS, were also found in lipidomic analyses of BEV. The lipid composition is completely different in Gram-positive bacteria compared to Gram-negative bacteria. For example, BEV derived from *S. pyogenes* are enriched in phosphatidylglycerol, and no cardiolipin was found in these BEV (Resch et al., 2016). BEV can exert immunomodulatory effects due to active biomolecules such as lipoproteins and LPS (Nagakubo et al., 2019). Lipids in OMV play a distinct role in their function. Some of these bacterial lipids, like LPS, can act as endotoxic agents. These toxic factors can be removed via engineering to make a safe vehicle for drug delivery. Moreover, the lipids of bilayer EV membranes can be adapted to the target environment and can be applied for targeted therapy (Yang et al., 2018).

2 BEV and host cells interactions

As previously mentioned, BEV help their cells of origin to communicate with and affect other cells (inter- and intrakingdom). The mucosal-covered surfaces of hosts shelter both pathogenic and non-pathogenic bacterial species, so hostbacteria interactions were widely studied in these tissue types (Table 3). Toxin delivery into host cells occurs by BEV (Cecil et al., 2019).

2.1 Uptake of BEV by host cells

Although evidence suggests that BEV can enter host cells and change their physiology, the exact mechanism underlying their cargo sorting, uptake, and how they influence the host cells remains undetermined. Non-phagocytic cells uptake EV through five proposed mechanisms (Table 4): i) macropinocytosis, ii) clathrin-mediated endocytosis, iii) caveolin-mediated endocytosis, iv) lipid raft-mediated endocytosis, and v) direct membrane fusion (Caruana and Walper, 2020).

Since the size and content of BEV are different from each other, a different way of endocytosis may be exploited as was discovered in *H. pylori* and *E.coli* (O'Donoghue et al., 2017; Zavan et al., 2019). Interaction of BEV with host cells can be affected by EV biogenesis mechanisms, the outcome of EV after host cell entry, and bacterial growth rate. Internalized BEV must not degrade and remain stable until the cargo has been delivered. However, this depends on the endocytosis mechanism; if the lysosomes are made after entering the host cells, they may degrade. The load is delivered to the endoplasmic reticulum/ Golgi complex through the caveolin-mediated method, and then is transferred to the cytosol to affect the target cells (Caruana and Walper, 2020).

Bacterial species	EV cargo	Impact on host	Reference
Aggregatibacter actinomycetemcomitans	sRNA	Cytokine production	(Choi et al., 2017b)
Aggregatibacter actinomycetemcomitans	RNA	Pro-inflammatory cytokine TNF- $α$ via the TLR-8 and NF-κB signaling pathways in human macrophages	(Caruana and Walper, 2020)
Campylobacter jejuni	Cytolethal distending toxin	Cytotoxicity	(Lindmark et al., 2009)
Escherichia coli	Hemolysin, cytolethal distending toxin	Endothelial and epithelial apoptosis	(Bielaszewska et al., 2013; Kunsmann et al., 2015)
Lactobacillus plantarum	sRNA	Neuroinflammatory diseases development	(Choi et al., 2019)
Porphyromonas gingivalis	Gingipains, sRNA	Detachment or disrupt tight junctions of oral squamous epithelial cells, facilitating bacterial penetration, cytokine production	(Nakao et al., 2014; Choi et al., 2017b)
Pseduomonas aeruginosa	Alkaline phosphatase, β-lactamase, hemolytic phospholipase C and CFTR inhibitory factor, tRNA-Met derived sRNA	Biofilm formation, degradation of host antimicrobial peptides, cytotoxicity, and inhibition of chloride secretion in the airways, inhibit IR-8 secretion and MAP kinases expression	(Bomberger et al., 2009; Choi et al., 2011; Koeppen et al., 2016)
Shigella dysenteriae	Shiga toxin	Cytotoxicity	(Dutta et al., 2004)
Treponema denticola	Dentilisin, sRNA	Detachment or disrupt tight junctions of oral squamous epithelial cells, facilitating bacterial penetration, cytokine production	(Chi et al., 2003; Choi et al., 2017b)
Vibrio cholerae	Cholera toxin	Cytotoxicity	(Chatterjee and Chaudhuri, 2011)

TABLE 3 The impact of different EV cargoes on host bacteria.

2.2 Immunomodulatory effects of commensal bacteria

Commensal bacteria can also affect host cells, specifically those that comprise the gut microbiome. These bacteria not only compete with pathogens, but also influence the production of bacteriocin, epithelial barrier function improvement, enzymatic activity, and short-chain fatty acids release, as well as impacting the host immune response in certain disease and leading to prevention or symptom inhibition (Plaza-Díaz et al., 2017; Molina-Tijeras et al., 2019). Although the gut lumen is covered with a mucus layer, the direct interaction between

Reference

BEV

TABLE 4 The uptake of BEV by host cells through proposed mechanisms.

Proposed mechanism

i)	Macropinocytosis: Actin fibers are polymerized to form a ring under the cellular membrane and closes at the top of an enveloped extracellular vesicle	Porphyromonas gingivalis Pseudomonas aeruginosa	(Bomberger et al., 2009; Furuta et al., 2009)
ii)	Clathrin-mediated endocytosis: Clathrin-mediated vesicles form through a progression of protein complexes	Aggregatibacter actinomycetemcomitans Brucella abortus Escherichia coli Helicobacter pylori	(Caruana and Walper, 2020)
iii)	Caveolin-mediated endocytosis: Involves lipid raft membrane invaginations which are internalized depending on dynamin	Escherichia coli Haemophilus influenzae Moraxella catarrhalis Vibrio cholerae	(Mulcahy et al., 2014; Caruana and Walper, 2020)
iv)	Lipid raft-mediated endocytosis: Lipid rafts are more rigid and ordered, and are enriched in cholesterol and sphingolipids	Acinetobacter baumannii Campylobacter jejuni Porphyromonas gingivalis Pseudomonas aeruginosa Vibrio cholerae Vibrio vulnificus	(Mulcahy et al., 2014; Caruana and Walper, 2020)
v)	Direct membrane fusion: Direct fusion of EV with the host cell membrane, commonly at lipid raft domains	Aggregatibacter actinomycetemcomitans Listeria monocytogenes Pseudomonas aeruginosa	(Caruana and Walper, 2020)

bacteria and host seems unlikely, therefore BEV must play a critical role in cargo exchange.

OMV released by the Gram-negative bacterium Bacteroides fragilis (B. fragilis) can stimulate dendritic cells in dextran sulfate sodium (DSS)-induced colitis, inducing a regulatory T cell (T_{reg}) response, and can regulate autophagic genes to induce mucosal tolerance (Chu et al., 2016). Moreover, it can lead to antiinflammatory stimulation and pro-inflammatory inhibition of cytokines in the Caco-2 cell line (Ahmadi Badi et al., 2019). OMV produced by Bacteroides vulgatus induce tolerance in bone marrow-derived colonic dendritic cells (Maerz et al., 2018). OMV derived from Gram-negative bacterium E. coli Nissle 1917 inhibit the DSS-induced colitis pro-inflammatory enzyme expression and enhance the tight junction protein expression, resulting in improved gut epithelial barrier function in colonic cell lines (Fábrega et al., 2017). Furthermore, Akkermansia muciniphila (A. muciniphila) OMV inhibit the progression of DSS-induced colitis. In high-fat diet obesity, these A. muciniphila-derived OMV stimulate the expression of tight junction proteins and enhance the integrity of the gut barrier (Chelakkot et al., 2018). In the context of cancer, in the CT26 mice colorectal cancer cell line, BEV induce pro-inflammatory cytokine production (Kang et al., 2013).

OMV derived from *P. aeruginosa*, which is a common pathogen for lung disease such as cystic fibrosis, were given to TLR2 and TLR4 knockout mice, resulting in increased pulmonary and alveolar macrophage concentrations of chemokines and cytokines, showing that OMV can induce pulmonary inflammation *in vivo* without the presence of live bacteria (Park et al., 2013).

The interaction between EV and host cells was also investigated in two Gram-positive probiotics genera, Bifidobacterium and Lactobacillus (Molina-Tijeras et al., 2019). Lactobacillus sakei EV strengthen the gut-blood barrier, positively affect microbiota composition, and stimulate IgA production (Yamasaki-Yashiki et al., 2019). EV released by Lactobacillus rhamnosus improve IL-10 output and increase the gut dendritic cells (Al-Nedawi et al., 2015). Pro-inflammatory cytokine production was inhibited in inflammatory bowel disease mouse models by EV derived from Lactobacillus kefir, Lactobacillus kefiranofaceins, and Lactobacillus kefirgranum (Seo et al., 2018). Additionally, a food allergy mouse model showed that Bifidobacterium longum EV suppress mast cell apoptosis, hence allergic diarrhea would be inhibited (Kim et al., 2016a). In another study, Bifidobacterium bifidum-derived EV stimulate a T_{reg} response through dendritic cells induction in naïve T cells (López et al., 2012). Other probiotic species that produce EV that induce dendritic cells and Treg include L. reuteri, Lactobacillus casei, Bifidobacterium animalis and Bifidobacterium adolescentis (Smits et al., 2005; Baba et al., 2008). It was also proved

that EV released by *Lactobacillus crispatus* and *Lactobacillus gasseri* can inhibit HIV-1 infection in some *ex vivo* models (Ñahui Palomino et al., 2019).

2.3 BEV and host cells during infection

BEV may play an important role in the progression and severity of bacterial infections due to their interactions with host cells. BEV are part of the immune evasion strategy of bacterial pathogens as they are released to resist host antimicrobial molecules. Various stressors to bacteria, such as antibiotics, and changes in temperature and pH, are known to cause changes in BEV production patterns to improve survival (Mozaheb and Mingeot-Leclercq, 2020). OMV induction in E. coli increases in response to sublethal concentrations of antimicrobial host defense peptides (HDP), which are a part of the innate immune system (Balhuizen et al., 2021). Peptides CATH-2 and PMAP-36, which interact with LPS and cause membrane permeabilization, were found mainly in the OMV rather than the E. coli bacterial pellet, suggesting that OMV are released to eliminate the parts of the membrane affected by HDP (Balhuizen et al., 2021).

During infection, changes in BEV formation have been demonstrated, particularly due to antibiotic treatment. In response to the antibiotic class aminoglycosides, which inhibit bacterial protein synthesis through binding on the 30S ribosome unit (Kotra et al., 2000), OMV formation is more likely to be induced and contain more DNA and cytoplasmic enzyme cargo (Kadurugamuwa et al., 1993). Exposure to gentamicin increased the number and size of OMV released from Acinetobacter baylyi increased, and the vesicles contained significantly more DNA cargo and efficient OMV-mediated plasmid DNA transfer (Fulsundar et al., 2014). Bacterial strains can increase their virulence potential through enzymes, prophages, and formation of biofilm. BEV may be key in transferring such virulence factors to host cells in response to antibiotics. Beta-lactamases, which are enzymes that break and inactivate beta-lactam antimicrobials, are packaged in OMV released by P. aeruginosa when exposed to benzylpenicillin (Ciofu et al., 2000). Treatment with ciprofloxacin enhanced the production of OMV and prophages in enterohemorrhagic E. coli (Bauwens et al., 2017), and increased both OMV number and the propensity for biofilm formation in Francisella tularensis (Siebert et al., 2019). In Gram-positive bacteria Bacillus subtilis, MV formation is greatly increased when the peptidoglycan cell wall is damaged by endolysins that are encoded by prophages (Toyofuku et al., 2017). Further investigations on the stressors and mechanisms conducive for BEV formation may be useful in production of BEV for future therapeutic applications and in the circumvention of further antimicrobial resistance.

3 Oncogenesis properties of BEV

There are very few studies of BEV in human body fluids due to technical challenges and other problems associated with their isolation from host-derived EV. BEV derived from the gut microbiome are assumed to take part in tumorigenesis of different types of cancer, including extra-gastric organs. They may indirectly promote cancer progression by inducing inflammation and forming a pre-metastatic niche (Chronopoulos and Kalluri, 2020). There is evidence to suggest that bacteria play a role in tumorigenesis. A recent mouse study demonstrated that when models of colitisassociated cancer are treated with antibiotics or are situated in a germ-free environment, there is an inhibition of tumor growth (Barteneva et al., 2017). Additionally, some bacterial species are known to play a role in intestinal cancers, including the Mycobacterium avium subspecies paratuberculosis, adherent enteroinvasive E. coli, and Chlamydia pneumoniae (Rubin et al., 2012). The microbiota composition and the number of some bacterial species change in patients with gastrointestinal tract cancers. Enterococcus, Escherichia, Shigella, Klebsiella, Streptococcus, Peptostreptococcus, Firmicutes, Fusobacterium, and Bacteroidetes were elevated in these cancers. They can affect normal cell proliferation and induce cancer proliferation by releasing toxins (for example, B. fragilis extracellular vesicles) or increasing inflammation and cancer cell proliferation (Barteneva et al., 2017). While it is evident that bacteria play a role in cancer development and progression, the mechanism underlying their role is unclear. This leads to the assumption that BEV are potential mediators of this interaction. It has also been shown that BEV associated with indoor dust may contribute to inflammation and diseases such as lung cancer by inducing neutrophilic inflammation through Th17 cell induction (Yang et al., 2020).

BEV derived from *H. pylori* are associated with the development of gastric cancer due to inflammatory mediator production by cells that uptake these EV. Such BEV contain the virulence factors cytotoxin-associated gene A and vacuolating cytotoxin A, which can induce the production of tumor necrosis factor- α , IL-6 and IL-1 β by macrophages, and IL-8 by gastric epithelial cells. In mice, it was observed that these BEV could enhance the expression of interferon-gamma, IL-17, and EV-specific IgG. They also aggregate and remain in the stomach for a long period of time (Choi et al., 2017a).

Although some studies have shown the impact of BEV in different types of cancer, like other aspects of BEV, their exact role, and mechanism of action as a carcinogen agent remain unknown.

4 Application of BEV in diagnosis

It was recently proposed that BEV can play an essential role in diagnosis of some cancers. Based on Kim et al.'s (2020a) analysis of BEV in relation to colorectal cancer (CRC), the gut microbiome was dramatically changed in participants with CRC, with *Firmicutes* and *Proteobacteria* being the most altered. They proposed that dysbiosis contributes to amino acid metabolism changes and CRC pathogenesis (Kim et al., 2020a).

BEV with active biological cargo can change their target cell function, and therefore have the capacity to induce asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Lung cell-derived EV can play an important role in intercellular communication and assist with miRNA exchange. It was observed that serum BEV IgG antibody levels in patients were higher compared to healthy controls. Therefore, BEV could potentially be used as a diagnostic tool for lung disease (Kim et al., 2020c).

Another study performed by Riquelme et al. (2019) suggests that the microbiome of pancreatic adenocarcinoma (PDAC) patients can help predict survival rates. They found bacteria in the PDAC tumor site including *Pseudoxanthomonas*, *Streptomyces*, *Saccharopolyspora* and *Bacillus clausii*. This study found that the more bacteria within the tumor microenvironment (TME), the greater the expected survival rate (Riquelme et al., 2019). While this paper did not investigate BEV, it highlights the potential for their use in diagnosis and prognosis in PDAC.

OMV can be bioengineered for diagnosis using fluorescent techniques. Recently, the release of BEV from *A. muciniphila* were observed to enter and accumulate into bone tissues of osteoporotic mice, enhancing osteogenic activity and inhibiting the formation of osteoclasts (Liu et al., 2021). The OMV were labeled with the lipophilic fluorescent dye DiR iodide, and were discovered to be necessary for the bone protective effects of *A. muciniphila* (Liu et al., 2021). Measuring the levels of these OMV can theoretically be used to determine the efficacy of *A. muciniphila* replenishment in the correction of irregular bone metabolism and in protecting against osteoporosis.

5 Application of BEV in cancer therapy

BEV have been shown to play an important role in cancer therapy for drug delivery. Recently, EV derived from *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (*K. pneumoniae*) were studied by Kuerban et al. (2020), where they specifically prepared doxorubicin-loaded OMV (DOX-OMV). Based on their results, DOX can be delivered effectively to the lung cancer cell line NSCLC A549 cells, and inhibit tumor growth in mouse models. They have also proposed that OMV can recruit TME macrophages, enhancing DOX cytotoxicity *in vivo*. This novel idea enables the delivery of

10.3389/fcimb.2022.962216

chemotherapeutic drugs while reducing off-target toxicity, triggering suitable immune responses and inhibiting cancer progression (Kuerban et al., 2020). OMV have been engineered to target specific cancer cells, particularly utilizing E. coli. To utilize BEV as transdermal nanoplatforms for melanoma therapy in mice, E. coli-derived OMV were modified with $\alpha_v \beta_3$ integrin targeting ligand and indocyanine green (Peng et al., 2020). Upon topical application to the melanoma site, these OMV can significantly penetrate the stratum corneum and target melanoma cells with high specificity via binding between the $\alpha_v\beta_3$ integrin and ligand on the cell surface. Furthermore, photothermal irradiation by nearinfrared light, indocyanine green dissociates from the OMV and induces both peroxidase-antiperoxidase activity and hyperthermia, resulting in necrosis in tumor spheroids. This photothermal effect continues to deform the OMV and cause the release of tumor necrosis factor related apoptosis-inducing ligand, which then binds to death receptors in the cancer cell surface and activation of apoptosis. This combined photothermal and OMV treatment resulted in a 20- 50% increase of antiproliferation rates, and a 20-76% decrease in invasion capacity, in melanoma cell lines. Tumor relapse and metastasis is also prevented with this therapy through interfering specific genes and proteins, such as decreasing vimentin and increasing E-Cadherin levels. This approach is advantageous due to its safety and biocompatibility (Peng et al., 2020).

OMV released from mutated E. coli were used to transfer cell-specific anti-cancer siRNA, and it also displayed the human epidermal growth factor receptor 2-specific affibody. This siRNA targeted kinesin spindle protein and resulted in gene silencing. Hence, significant tumor growth regression was observed in the animal model of ovary adenocarcinoma and breast ductal cancer. These types of OMV did not show any immunogenic effects (Gujrati et al., 2014). Moreover, BEV can be used in TME reprogramming as an immune stimulation agent. However, OMV aggregation can lead to toxicity, and they may require an antibody to eliminate them whenever they are administrated intravenously. As such, Qing et al. (2020) covered the surface of E. coli BL21(DE3)-derived OMV with calcium phosphate. This reduced the risk of adverse effects with using these vesicles, and they were used for TME reprogramming. The shells were pHsensitive, which helped to neutralize the acidic TME. It was also shown that OMV facilitated the M2-to-M1 polarization of macrophages and enhanced the antitumor effect. This method can also be applied in combination therapy and combined with other therapeutic agents (Qing et al., 2020).

In *E. coli* W3110-derived OMV, Kim et al. (2017) investigated their anti-cancer effect in colon cancer. The vesicles could specifically aggregate in the tumor site and induce long-term antitumor immune response, thus tumor regression was observed. Moreover, they also induced the production of CXCL10, an anti-cancer cytokine, and interferon- γ . They proposed that these OMV could be used as

an immunotherapeutic agent in different types of cancer without any apparent side effects (Kim et al., 2017).

E.coli-derived OMV normally contain proinflammatory molecules such as LPS, inducing severe host innate immunity responses (Park et al., 2021). Therefore, synthetic BEV were produced from E. coli through a procedure involving the removal of periplasmic contents and disruption of cell membranes via incubation with lysozyme and sonication (Park et al., 2021). The bacterial inner membrane was then removed with suspension in an ionic detergent, and the resulting membrane was treated with high pH solution which then forms membrane sheets (Park et al., 2021). After ultracentrifugation, the purified sheets were collected and the synthetic BEV were isolated after a final sonication (Park et al., 2021). Even at higher doses than E.coli-derived OMV, synthetic BEV were shown to have no toxicity with no significant increases in TNF- α and IL-6 levels (Park et al., 2021). The naturally derived OMV and synthetic BEV were found to similarly activate dendritic cells derived from mouse bone marrow, and when combined with tumor tissue-derived EV, could induce melanoma tumour regression through contribution towards Th1-biased immune responses and enhanced cytotoxic T lymphocyte responses (Park et al., 2021). It is then expected that synthetic BEV can synergistically induce immunogenicity, however they likely require combination with other TLR ligands to sustainably generate potent tumour-specific cytotoxic T lymphocyte responses in humans (Park et al., 2021).

As many studies have proposed, the gut microbiota can affect distal tissues or organs, without any direct connection to the gut. The gut-lung axis is one prominent example. Bacteria and their products can translocate through the gastrointestinal barrier, such as with *Faecalibacterium prausnitzii*, which is a species with known anti-inflammatory effects. Jafari et al. (2019) used BEV on the A549 cancer cell line and evaluated the expression profile of cytokines and chemokines. These BEV significantly upregulated the anti-inflammatory cytokines, including IL-10, TGF- β 2, and IL-1Ra, and downregulated some of the pro-inflammatory cytokines including IL-6, TNF- α , and TNF- β (Jafari et al., 2019).

BEV have application in cancer therapy as well as vaccine production *via* engineered bacteria. When modifying BEV endotoxicity in vaccine production, BEV are either treated with detergents to remove potential toxins such as LPS, or the originating bacteria is engineered to produce detoxified vesicles. In one study, *S. aureus* was engineered to produce detoxified cytolysins, and these BEV can induce an immune response and serve as a vaccine in a lethal sepsis model (Wang et al., 2018). BEV can also be utilized in producing vaccines for infectious diseases, such as Bexsero, an FDA-approved vaccine used to prevent meningococcal B disease (Zhang et al., 2019).

Moreover, a study on breast cancer microbiome showed that *K. pneumoniae*-derived EV were known to enhance anti-cancer effects of tamoxifen on breast cancer cell line (MCF-7) compared

to cells were not treated with bacterial EV. These EV elevated the anti-hormonal effects of tamoxifen through *Cyclin* E2 and *p*-ERK, and cancer cell growth was inhibited. BEV may play an important role in breast cancer hormone therapy (An et al., 2021)

In addition, *Lacticaseibacillus rhamnosus* GG-derived EV were studied for their cytotoxic effects on hepatic cancer cell line HepG2. These EV significantly enhanced *bcl-2* and *bax* genes expression, and this overexpression led to the anti-proliferative effect of cancer cells and apoptosis (Behzadi et al., 2017).

BEV evidently have the potential for clinical applications, including cancer therapy, vaccine development, infection control, and many other medical applications (Figure 2). BEV can be biocompatible and safe, and they can also be engineered to become properly suited for medical application. Although BEV has been investigated as a therapeutic option, like many other new treatments, this field requires further human and prospective studies.

6 Conclusion

The discovery of BEV and their functionality is paving a new way forward for novel diagnostic and therapeutic tools. BEV have the capacity to store and transport cellular cargo including DNA, RNA, proteins and lipids, while also being able to evade host degradation. These properties enable BEV to serve as important mediators in cellular communication. The BEV of commensal bacteria are essential in facilitating host-bacteria interactions and infection prevention, highlighting their beneficial impact on host health. Since these membranous nanoparticles can be engineered to perform specific interactions and functions, there is potential for BEV to be utilized as novel diagnostic and therapeutic tools. Certain BEV have the capacity to trigger immune responses, transport drugs, possess anti-cancer effects, or they may be associated with specific cancer types. As such, they can be used in cancer treatments, vaccine development or in diagnosis of some diseases. Despite the exciting potential of BEV, there are some limitations including the aggregation of EV or bacteriotoxin in the host, the high cost and complexity surrounding purification and separation of EV, low levels of protective antigen expression, and the interference of immunosuppressive molecules with the immune response.

Although BEV have great potential for use in the prognosis, diagnosis, and treatment of many types of diseases, there are some limitations to their application:

1) further research is needed as the exact mechanism of BEV biogenesis are still hypothesized. 2) purification and separation techniques require very time-consuming and complex laboratory procedures. 3) most studies on BEV are more related to vaccine development in comparison with other biomedical fields. 4) low levels of protective antigens expression and 5) BEV can carry cargo that can interfere with and suppress immune responses.

Due to their stability, size, lack of replicative ability and immunogenic cargo and properties from as the parent bacteria, BEV are promising candidates in playing a role in diagnosis, drug delivery, and disease therapy. From a therapeutic standpoint, BEV can be engineered to elicit immune responses in specific diseases such as infections or cancer. As seen in particular bacterial species, a



A summary of the therapeutic applications of bacterial extracellular vesicles (BEV). BEV released by certain bacteria have been shown to have beneficial anti-cancer and anti-inflammatory effects in cancers such as colon, breast, lung, and liver cancer, melanoma and ovarian adenocarcinoma. Extracellular vesicles released by *Staphylococcus aureus* can also trigger the immune system, serving as a vaccine in a model of lethal sepsis.

potent T cell response can be induced and result in tumor regression. However, as with majority of the studies on BEV, such significant results are non-replicable in humans when solely using BEV. Further research on potential ligands and other synergistic molecules can improve the replicability and efficacy of BEV in human therapeutic applications. Further elucidation of BEV biogenesis, particularly in less-understood organisms such as Grampositive bacteria, and their mechanisms of entry and pathogenicity in host cells, can help direct the engineering of BEV into a more efficient and applicable direction.

Author contributions

NH-G and AB wrote the manuscript. CH, EE-O, FE-A, and EH-B revised the manuscript and provided guidance on the structure and content

Acknowledgments

EH-B acknowledges the Dust Diseases Board competitive grant.

References

Ahmadi Badi, S., Khatami, S. H., Irani, S. H., and Siadat, S. D. (2019). Induction effects of bacteroides fragilis derived outer membrane vesicles on toll like receptor 2, toll like receptor 4 genes expression and cytokines concentration in human intestinal epithelial cells. *Cell J.* 21, 57–61. doi: 10.22074/cellj.2019.5750

Ahmed, A. M., Hussein, A. I., and Shimamoto, T. (2007). Proteus Mirabilis clinical isolate harbouring a new variant of salmonella genomic island 1 containing the multiple antibiotic resistance region. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* 59, 184–190. doi: 10.1093/jac/dkl471

Allesen-Holm, M., Barken, K. B., Yang, L., Klausen, M., Webb, J. S., Kjelleberg, S., et al. (2006). A characterization of DNA release in pseudomonas aeruginosa cultures and biofilms. *Mol. Microbiol.* 59, 1114–1128. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2958.2005.05008.x

Al-Nedawi, K., Mian, M. F., Hossain, N., Karimi, K., Mao, Y. K., Forsythe, P., et al. (2015). Gut commensal microvesicles reproduce parent bacterial signals to host immune and enteric nervous systems. *FASEB J.* 29, 684–695. doi: 10.1096/fj.14-259721

An, J., Kim, J. B., Yang, E. Y., Kim, H. O., Lee, W. H., Yang, J., et al. (2021). Bacterial extracellular vesicles affect endocrine therapy in MCF7 cells. *Med. (Baltimore).* 100, e25835. doi: 10.1097/MD.000000000025835

Avila-Calderón, E. D., Ruiz-Palma, M. D. S., Aguilera-Arreola, M. G., Velázquez-Guadarrama, N., Ruiz, E. A., Gomez-Lunar, Z., et al. (2021). Outer membrane vesicles of gram-negative bacteria: An outlook on biogenesis. *Front. Microbiol.* 12, 557902. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2021.557902

Baba, N., Samson, S., Bourdet-Sicard, R., Rubio, M., and Sarfati, M. (2008). Commensal bacteria trigger a full dendritic cell maturation program that promotes the expansion of non-Tr1 suppressor T cells. *J. Leukoc. Biol.* 84, 468–476. doi: 10.1189/jlb.0108017

Baeza, N., Delgado, L., Comas, J., and Mercade, E. (2021). Phage-mediated explosive cell lysis induces the formation of a different type of O-IMV in shewanella vesiculosa M7T. *Front. Microbiol.* 12. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2021.713669

Baidya, A. K., Bhattacharya, S., Dubey, G. P., Mamou, G., and Ben-Yehuda, S. (2018). Bacterial nanotubes: a conduit for intercellular molecular trade. *Curr. Opin. Microbiol.* 42, 1–6. doi: 10.1016/j.mib.2017.08.006

Balhuizen, M. D., Van Dijk, A., Jansen, J. W. A., Van De Lest, C. H. A., Veldhuizen, E. J. A., and Haagsman, H. P. (2021). Outer membrane vesicles protect

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Author disclaimer

The views expressed herein are those of the authors and are not necessarily those of iCare or the Dust Diseases Board.

gram-negative bacteria against host defense peptides. *mSphere* 6, e0052321. doi: 10.1128/mSphere.00523-21

Barteneva, N. S., Baiken, Y., Fasler-Kan, E., Alibek, K., Wang, S., Maltsev, N., et al. (2017). Extracellular vesicles in gastrointestinal cancer in conjunction with microbiota: On the border of kingdoms. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta Rev. Cancer* 1868, 372–393. doi: 10.1016/j.bbcan.2017.06.005

Baumgarten, T., Sperling, S., Seifert, J., Von Bergen, M., Steiniger, F., Wick, L. Y., et al. (2012). Membrane vesicle formation as a multiple-stress response mechanism enhances pseudomonas putida DOT-T1E cell surface hydrophobicity and biofilm formation. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 78, 6217–6224. doi: 10.1128/AEM.01525-12

Bauwens, A., Kunsmann, L., Karch, H., Mellmann, A., and Bielaszewska, M. (2017). Antibiotic-mediated modulations of outer membrane vesicles in enterohemorrhagic escherichia coli O104: H4 and O157: H7. *Antimicrobial. Agents Chemother.* 61, e00937–e00917. doi: 10.1128/AAC.00937-17

Becknell, B., and Spencer, J. D. (2016). A review of ribonuclease 7's structure, regulation, and contributions to host defense. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 17, 423. doi: 10.3390/ ijms17030423

Behzadi, E., Mahmoodzadeh Hosseini, H., and Imani Fooladi, A. A. (2017). The inhibitory impacts of lactobacillus rhamnosus GG-derived extracellular vesicles on the growth of hepatic cancer cells. *Microb. Pathog.* 110, 1–6. doi: 10.1016/j.micpath.2017.06.016

Ben-Hur, S., Biton, M., and Regev-Rudzki, N. (2019). Extracellular vesicles: A prevalent tool for microbial gene delivery? *Proteomics* 19, e1800170. doi: 10.1002/pmic.201800170

Benmoussa, A., Lee, C. H., Laffont, B., Savard, P., Laugier, J., Boilard, E., et al. (2016). Commercial dairy cow milk microRNAs resist digestion under simulated gastrointestinal tract conditions. *J. Nutr.* 146, 2206–2215. doi: 10.3945/jn.116.237651

Bielaszewska, M., Rüter, C., Kunsmann, L., Greune, L., Bauwens, A., Zhang, W., et al. (2013). Enterohemorrhagic escherichia coli hemolysin employs outer membrane vesicles to target mitochondria and cause endothelial and epithelial apoptosis. *PloS Pathog.* 9, e1003797. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1003797

Biller, S. J., Schubotz, F., Roggensack, S. E., Thompson, A. W., Summons, R. E., and Chisholm, S. W. (2014). Bacterial vesicles in marine ecosystems. *Science* 343, 183–186. doi: 10.1126/science.1243457 Bitto, N. J., Chapman, R., Pidot, S., Costin, A., Lo, C., Choi, J., et al. (2017). Bacterial membrane vesicles transport their DNA cargo into host cells. *Sci. Rep.* 7, 7072. doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-07288-4

Bomberger, J. M., Maceachran, D. P., Coutermarsh, B. A., Ye, S., O'toole, G. A., and Stanton, B. A. (2009). Long-distance delivery of bacterial virulence factors by pseudomonas aeruginosa outer membrane vesicles. *PloS Pathog.* 5, e1000382. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1000382

Bonnington, K. E., and Kuehn, M. J. (2014). Protein selection and export via outer membrane vesicles. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1843, 1612–1619. doi: 10.1016/j.bbamcr.2013.12.011

Briaud, P., and Carroll, R. K. (2020). Extracellular vesicle biogenesis and functions in gram-positive bacteria. *Infect. Immun.* 88 (12), e00433-20. doi: 10.1128/IAI.00433-20

Brown, L., Kessler, A., Cabezas-Sanchez, P., Luque-Garcia, J. L., and Casadevall, A. (2014). Extracellular vesicles produced by the gram-positive bacterium bacillus subtilis are disrupted by the lipopeptide surfactin. *Mol. Microbiol.* 93, 183–198. doi: 10.1111/mmi.12650

Brown, L., Wolf, J. M., Prados-Rosales, R., and Casadevall, A. (2015). Through the wall: extracellular vesicles in gram-positive bacteria, mycobacteria and fungi. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 13, 620–630. doi: 10.1038/nrmicro3480

Caruana, J. C., and Walper, S. A. (2020). Bacterial membrane vesicles as mediators of microbe - microbe and microbe - host community interactions. *Front. Microbiol.* 11, 432. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2020.00432

Cech, T. R., and Steitz, J. A. (2014). The noncoding RNA revolution-trashing old rules to forge new ones. *Cell* 157, 77–94. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2014.03.008

Cecil, J. D., Sirisaengtaksin, N., O'brien-Simpson, N. M., and Krachler, A. M. (2019). Outer membrane vesicle-host cell interactions. *Microbiol. Spectr.* 7 (1), 7.1.06. doi: 10.1128/9781683670285.ch17

Chatterjee, D., and Chaudhuri, K. (2011). Association of cholera toxin with vibrio cholerae outer membrane vesicles which are internalized by human intestinal epithelial cells. *FEBS Lett.* 585, 1357–1362. doi: 10.1016/j.febslet.2011.04.017

Chatterjee, S., Mondal, A., Mitra, S., and Basu, S. (2017). Acinetobacter baumannii transfers the blaNDM-1 gene *via* outer membrane vesicles. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* 72, 2201–2207. doi: 10.1093/jac/dkx131

Chelakkot, C., Choi, Y., Kim, D. K., Park, H. T., Ghim, J., Kwon, Y., et al. (2018). Akkermansia muciniphila-derived extracellular vesicles influence gut permeability through the regulation of tight junctions. *Exp. Mol. Med.* 50, e450. doi: 10.1038/ emm.2017.282

Chen, C., Kawamoto, J., Kawai, S., Tame, A., Kato, C., Imai, T., et al. (2019). Isolation of a novel bacterial strain capable of producing abundant extracellular membrane vesicles carrying a single major cargo protein and analysis of its transport mechanism. *Front. Microbiol.* 10, 3001. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2019.03001

Chen, J., Zhang, H., Wang, S., Du, Y., Wei, B., Wu, Q., et al. (2022). Inhibitors of bacterial extracellular vesicles. *Front. Microbiol.* 13, 835058. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2022.835058

Chim, S. S., Shing, T. K., Hung, E. C., Leung, T. Y., Lau, T. K., Chiu, R. W., et al. (2008). Detection and characterization of placental microRNAs in maternal plasma. *Clin. Chem.* 54, 482–490. doi: 10.1373/clinchem.2007.097972

Chi, B., Qi, M., and Kuramitsu, H. K. (2003). Role of dentilisin in treponema denticola epithelial cell layer penetration. *Res. Microbiol.* 154, 637–643. doi: 10.1016/j.resmic.2003.08.001

Choi, H. I., Choi, J. P., Seo, J., Kim, B. J., Rho, M., Han, J. K., et al. (2017a). Helicobacter pylori-derived extracellular vesicles increased in the gastric juices of gastric adenocarcinoma patients and induced inflammation mainly *via* specific targeting of gastric epithelial cells. *Exp. Mol. Med.* 49, e330. doi: 10.1038/ emm.2017.47

Choi, D. S., Kim, D. K., Choi, S. J., Lee, J., Choi, J. P., Rho, S., et al. (2011). Proteomic analysis of outer membrane vesicles derived from pseudomonas aeruginosa. *Proteomics* 11, 3424–3429. doi: 10.1002/pmic.201000212

Choi, J., Kim, Y. K., and Han, P. L. (2019). Extracellular vesicles derived from lactobacillus plantarum increase BDNF expression in cultured hippocampal neurons and produce antidepressant-like effects in mice. *Exp. Neurobiol.* 28, 158–171. doi: 10.5607/en.2019.28.2.158

Choi, J. W., Kim, S. C., Hong, S. H., and Lee, H. J. (2017b). Secretable small RNAs via outer membrane vesicles in periodontal pathogens. *J. Dent. Res.* 96, 458–466. doi: 10.1177/0022034516685071

Choi, J. W., Kwon, T. Y., Hong, S. H., and Lee, H. J. (2018). Isolation and characterization of a microRNA-size secretable small RNA in streptococcus sanguinis. *Cell Biochem. Biophys.* 76, 293–301. doi: 10.1007/s12013-016-0770-5

Chronopoulos, A., and Kalluri, R. (2020). Emerging role of bacterial extracellular vesicles in cancer. *Oncogene* 39, 6951–6960. doi: 10.1038/s41388-020-01509-3

Chu, H., Khosravi, A., Kusumawardhani, I. P., Kwon, A. H., Vasconcelos, A. C., Cunha, L. D., et al. (2016). Gene-microbiota interactions contribute to the

pathogenesis of inflammatory bowel disease. *Science* 352, 1116-1120. doi: 10.1126/science.aad9948

Ciofu, O., Beveridge, T. J., Kadurugamuwa, J., Walther-Rasmussen, J., and Høiby, N. (2000). Chromosomal β -lactamase is packaged into membrane vesicles and secreted from pseudomonas aeruginosa. *J. Antimicrobial. Chemother.* 45, 9–13. doi: 10.1093/jac/45.1.9

Coelho, C., Brown, L., Maryam, M., Vij, R., Smith, D. F. Q., Burnet, M. C., et al. (2019). Listeria monocytogenes virulence factors, including listeriolysin O, are secreted in biologically active extracellular vesicles. *J. Biol. Chem.* 294, 1202–1217. doi: 10.1074/jbc.RA118.006472

Dauros-Singorenko, P., Blenkiron, C., Phillips, A., and Swift, S. (2018). The functional RNA cargo of bacterial membrane vesicles. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 365, 580913. doi: 10.1093/femsle/fny023

Dauros Singorenko, P., Chang, V., Whitcombe, A., Simonov, D., Hong, J., Phillips, A., et al. (2017). Isolation of membrane vesicles from prokaryotes: a technical and biological comparison reveals heterogeneity. *J. extracell. vesicles* 6, 1324731–1324731. doi: 10.1080/20013078.2017.1324731

Dauros-Singorenko, P., Hong, J., Swift, S., Phillips, A., and Blenkiron, C. (2020). Effect of the extracellular vesicle RNA cargo from uropathogenic escherichia coli on bladder cells. *Front. Mol. Biosci.* 7, 580913. doi: 10.3389/fmolb.2020.580913

Deatherage, B. L., Lara, J. C., Bergsbaken, T., Rassoulian Barrett, S. L., Lara, S., and Cookson, B. T. (2009). Biogenesis of bacterial membrane vesicles. *Mol. Microbiol.* 72, 1395–1407. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2958.2009.06731.x

Dorward, D. W., Garon, C. F., and Judd, R. C. (1989). Export and intercellular transfer of DNA *via* membrane blebs of neisseria gonorrhoeae. *J. Bacteriol.* 171, 2499–2505. doi: 10.1128/jb.171.5.2499-2505.1989

Dutta, S., Iida, K., Takade, A., Meno, Y., Nair, G. B., and Yoshida, S. (2004). Release of shiga toxin by membrane vesicles in shigella dysenteriae serotype 1 strains and *in vitro* effects of antimicrobials on toxin production and release. *Microbiol. Immunol.* 48, 965–969. doi: 10.1111/j.1348-0421.2004.tb03626.x

Fábrega, M. J., Rodríguez-Nogales, A., Garrido-Mesa, J., Algieri, F., Badía, J., Giménez, R., et al. (2017). Intestinal anti-inflammatory effects of outer membrane vesicles from escherichia coli nissle 1917 in DSS-experimental colitis in mice. *Front. Microbiol.* 8, 1274. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2017.01274

Frantz, R., Teubner, L., Schultze, T., La Pietra, L., Müller, C., Gwozdzinski, K., et al. (2019). The secRNome of listeria monocytogenes harbors small noncoding RNAs that are potent inducers of beta interferon. *mBio* 10 (5), e01223–19. doi: 10.1128/mBio.01223-19

Fulsundar, S., Harms, K., Flaten, G. E., Johnsen, P. J., Chopade, B. A., and Nielsen, K. M. (2014). Gene transfer potential of outer membrane vesicles of acinetobacter baylyi and effects of stress on vesiculation. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 80, 3469–3483. doi: 10.1128/AEM.04248-13

Furuta, N., Tsuda, K., Omori, H., Yoshimori, T., Yoshimura, F., and Amano, A. (2009). Porphyromonas gingivalis outer membrane vesicles enter human epithelial cells *via* an endocytic pathway and are sorted to lysosomal compartments. *Infect. Immun.* 77, 4187–4196. doi: 10.1128/IAI.00009-09

Gao, L., and Van Der Veen, S. (2020). Role of outer membrane vesicles in bacterial physiology and host cell interactions. *Infect. Microbes Dis.* 2, 3–9. doi: 10.1097/IM9.00000000000017

Ghosal, A. (2017). Importance of secreted bacterial RNA in bacterial-host interactions in the gut. *Microb. Pathog.* 104, 161–163. doi: 10.1016/j.micpath.2017.01.032

Godlewska, R., Wiśniewska, K., Pietras, Z., and Jagusztyn-Krynicka, E. K. (2009). Peptidoglycan-associated lipoprotein (Pal) of gram-negative bacteria: function, structure, role in pathogenesis and potential application in immunoprophylaxis. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 298, 1–11. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6968.2009.01659.x

Grande, R., Celia, C., Mincione, G., Stringaro, A., Di Marzio, L., Colone, M., et al. (2017). Detection and physicochemical characterization of membrane vesicles (MVs) of lactobacillus reuteri DSM 17938. *Front. Microbiol.* 8, 1040. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2017.01040

Grande, R., Di Marcantonio, M. C., Robuffo, I., Pompilio, A., Celia, C., Di Marzio, L., et al. (2015). Helicobacter pylori ATCC 43629/NCTC 11639 outer membrane vesicles (OMVs) from biofilm and planktonic phase associated with extracellular DNA (eDNA). *Front. Microbiol.* 6, 1369. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2015.01369

Gujrati, V., Kim, S., Kim, S. H., Min, J. J., Choy, H. E., Kim, S. C., et al. (2014). Bioengineered bacterial outer membrane vesicles as cell-specific drug-delivery vehicles for cancer therapy. ACS Nano. 8, 1525–1537. doi: 10.1021/nn405724x

Ha, J. Y., Choi, S. Y., Lee, J. H., Hong, S. H., and Lee, H. J. (2020). Delivery of periodontopathogenic extracellular vesicles to brain monocytes and microglial IL-6 promotion by RNA cargo. *Front. Mol. Biosci.* 7, 596366. doi: 10.3389/fmolb.2020.596366

Henderson, J. C., Zimmerman, S. M., Crofts, A. A., Boll, J. M., Kuhns, L. G., Herrera, C. M., et al. (2016). The power of asymmetry: Architecture and assembly of the gram-negative outer membrane lipid bilayer. Annu. Rev. Microbiol. 70, 255–278. doi: 10.1146/annurev-micro-102215-095308

Ho, M. H., Chen, C. H., Goodwin, J. S., Wang, B. Y., and Xie, H. (2015). Functional advantages of porphyromonas gingivalis vesicles. *PloS One* 10, e0123448. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0123448

Jafari, B., Khavari Nejad, R. A., Vaziri, F., and Siadat, S. D. (2019). Evaluation of the effects of extracellular vesicles derived from faecalibacterium prausnitzii on lung cancer cell line. *Biologia* 74, 889–898. doi: 10.2478/s11756-019-00229-8

Jahromi, L. P., and Fuhrmann, G. (2021). Bacterial extracellular vesicles: Understanding biology promotes applications as nanopharmaceuticals. *Adv. Drug Delivery Rev.* 173, 125–140. doi: 10.1016/j.addr.2021.03.012

Jan, A. T. (2017). Outer membrane vesicles (OMVs) of gram-negative bacteria: A perspective update. *Front. Microbiol.* 8, 1053. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2017.01053

Jeon, J., Mok, H. J., Choi, Y., Park, S. C., Jo, H., Her, J., et al. (2017). Proteomic analysis of extracellular vesicles derived from propionibacterium acnes. *Proteomics Clin. Appl.* 11 (1-2), 1600040. doi: 10.1002/prca.201600040

Joshi, B., Singh, B., Nadeem, A., Askarian, F., Wai, S. N., Johannessen, M., et al. (2020). Transcriptome profiling of staphylococcus aureus associated extracellular vesicles reveals presence of small RNA-cargo. *Front. Mol. Biosci.* 7, 566207. doi: 10.3389/fmolb.2020.566207

Kadurugamuwa, J. L., Clarke, A. J., and Beveridge, T. J. (1993). Surface action of gentamicin on pseudomonas aeruginosa. *J. Bacteriol.* 175, 5798–5805. doi: 10.1128/jb.175.18.5798-5805.1993

Kang, C. S., Ban, M., Choi, E. J., Moon, H. G., Jeon, J. S., Kim, D. K., et al. (2013). Extracellular vesicles derived from gut microbiota, especially akkermansia muciniphila, protect the progression of dextran sulfate sodium-induced colitis. *PloS One* 8, e76520. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0076520

Kim, Y., Edwards, N., and Fenselau, C. (2016b). Extracellular vesicle proteomes reflect developmental phases of bacillus subtilis. *Clin. Proteomics* 13, 6. doi: 10.1186/s12014-016-9107-z

Kim, J. H., Jeun, E. J., Hong, C. P., Kim, S. H., Jang, M. S., Lee, E. J., et al. (2016a). Extracellular vesicle-derived protein from bifidobacterium longum alleviates food allergy through mast cell suppression. *J. Allergy Clin. Immunol.* 137, 507–516.e8. doi: 10.1016/j.jaci.2015.08.016

Kim, Y. Y., Joh, J. S., and Lee, J. Y. (2020c). Importance of microbial extracellular vesicle in the pathogenesis of asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and its diagnostic potential. *Asia Pac Allergy* 10, e25. doi: 10.5415/apallergy.2020.10.e25

Kim, J. H., Lee, J., Park, J., and Gho, Y. S. (2015). Gram-negative and grampositive bacterial extracellular vesicles. *Semin. Cell Dev. Biol.* 40, 97–104. doi: 10.1016/j.semcdb.2015.02.006

Kim, O. Y., Park, H. T., Dinh, N. T. H., Choi, S. J., Lee, J., Kim, J. H., et al. (2017). Bacterial outer membrane vesicles suppress tumor by interferon-γ-mediated antitumor response. *Nat. Commun.* 8, 626. doi: 10.1038/s41467-017-00729-8

Kim, S. W., Seo, J. S., Park, S. B., Lee, A. R., Lee, J. S. Jung, J. W., et al. (2020b). Significant increase in the secretion of extracellular vesicles and antibiotics resistance from methicillin-resistant staphylococcus aureus induced by ampicillin stress. *Sci. Rep.* 10, 21066. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-78121-8

Kim, D. J., Yang, J., Seo, H., Lee, W. H., Ho Lee, D. , Kym, S., et al. (2020a). Colorectal cancer diagnostic model utilizing metagenomic and metabolomic data of stool microbial extracellular vesicles. *Sci. Rep.* 10, 2860. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-59529-8

Koeppen, K., Hampton, T. H., Jarek, M., Scharfe, M., Gerber, S. A., Mielcarz, D. W., et al. (2016). A novel mechanism of host-pathogen interaction through sRNA in bacterial outer membrane vesicles. *PloS Pathog.* 12, e1005672. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1005672

Kotra, L. P., Haddad, J., and Mobashery, S. (2000). Aminoglycosides: perspectives on mechanisms of action and resistance and strategies to counter resistance. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 44, 3249–3256. doi: 10.1128/ AAC.44.12.3249-3256.2000

Kuerban, K., Gao, X., Zhang, H., Liu, J., Dong, M., Wu, L., et al. (2020). Doxorubicin-loaded bacterial outer-membrane vesicles exert enhanced anti-tumor efficacy in non-small-cell lung cancer. *Acta Pharm. Sin. B.* 10, 1534–1548. doi: 10.1016/j.apsb.2020.02.002

Kunsmann, L., Rüter, C., Bauwens, A., Greune, L., Glüder, M., Kemper, B., et al. (2015). Virulence from vesicles: Novel mechanisms of host cell injury by escherichia coli O104:H4 outbreak strain. *Sci. Rep.* 5, 13252. doi: 10.1038/srep13252

Küsel, K., Dorsch, T., Acker, G., and Stackebrandt, E. (1999). Microbial reduction of Fe(III) in acidic sediments: isolation of acidiphilium cryptum JF-5 capable of coupling the reduction of Fe(III) to the oxidation of glucose. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 65, 3633–3640. doi: 10.1128/AEM.65.8.3633-3640.1999

Lee, E. Y., Choi, D. Y., Kim, D. K., Kim, J. W., Park, J. O., Kim, S., et al. (2009). Gram-positive bacteria produce membrane vesicles: proteomics-based characterization of staphylococcus aureus-derived membrane vesicles. *Proteomics* 9, 5425–5436. doi: 10.1002/pmic.200900338

Lee, J. H., Choi, C. W., Lee, T., Kim, S. I., Lee, J. C., and Shin, J. H. (2013). Transcription factor σB plays an important role in the production of extracellular membrane-derived vesicles in listeria monocytogenes. *PloS One* 8, e73196. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0073196

Liao, S., Klein, M. I., Heim, K. P., Fan, Y., Bitoun, J. P., Ahn, S. J., et al. (2014). Streptococcus mutans extracellular DNA is upregulated during growth in biofilms, actively released *via* membrane vesicles, and influenced by components of the protein secretion machinery. *J. Bacteriol.* 196, 2355–2366. doi: 10.1128/JB.01493-14

Lindmark, B., Rompikuntal, P. K., Vaitkevicius, K., Song, T., Mizunoe, Y., Uhlin, B. E., et al. (2009). Outer membrane vesicle-mediated release of cytolethal distending toxin (CDT) from campylobacter jejuni. *BMC Microbiol.* 9, 220. doi: 10.1186/1471-2180-9-220

Liu, J. H., Chen, C. Y., Liu, Z. Z., Luo, Z. W., Rao, S. S., Jin, L., et al. (2021). Extracellular vesicles from child gut microbiota enter into bone to preserve bone mass and strength. *Adv. Sci. (Weinh).* 8, 2004831. doi: 10.1002/advs.202004831

Liu, H., Wang, X., Wang, H. D., Wu, J., Ren, J., Meng, L., et al. (2012). Escherichia coli noncoding RNAs can affect gene expression and physiology of caenorhabditis elegans. *Nat. Commun.* 3, 1073. doi: 10.1038/ncomms2071

López, P., González-Rodríguez, I., Sánchez, B., Gueimonde, M., Margolles, A., and Suárez, A. (2012). Treg-inducing membrane vesicles from bifidobacterium bifidum LMG13195 as potential adjuvants in immunotherapy. *Vaccine* 30, 825– 829. doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2011.11.115

Luz, B., Nicolas, A., Chabelskaya, S., Rodovalho, V. R., Le Loir, Y., Azevedo, V. A. C., et al. (2021). Environmental plasticity of the RNA content of staphylococcus aureus extracellular vesicles. *Front. Microbiol.* 12, 634226. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2021.634226

Macdonald, I. A., and Kuehn, M. J. (2013). Stress-induced outer membrane vesicle production by pseudomonas aeruginosa. *J. Bacteriol.* 195, 2971–2981. doi: 10.1128/JB.02267-12

Maerz, J. K., Steimle, A., Lange, A., Bender, A., Fehrenbacher, B., and Frick, J. S. (2018). Outer membrane vesicles blebbing contributes to b. vulgatus mpkmediated immune response silencing. *Gut Microbes* 9, 1–12. doi: 10.1080/ 19490976.2017.1344810

Malabirade, A., Habier, J., Heintz-Buschart, A., May, P., Godet, J., Halder, R., et al. (2018). The RNA complement of outer membrane vesicles from salmonella enterica serovar typhimurium under distinct culture conditions. *Front. Microbiol.* 9, 2015. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2018.02015

Malabirade, A., Morgado-Brajones, J., Trépout, S., Wien, F., Marquez, I., Seguin, J., et al. (2017). Membrane association of the bacterial riboregulator hfq and functional perspectives. *Sci. Rep.* 7, 10724. doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-11157-5

Malge, A., Ghai, V., Reddy, P. J., Baxter, D., Kim, T. K., Moritz, R. L., et al. (2018). mRNA transcript distribution bias between borrelia burgdorferi bacteria and their outer membrane vesicles. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 365 (13), fny135. doi: 10.1093/femsle/fny135

McBroom, A. J., and Kuehn, M. J. (2007). Release of outer membrane vesicles by gram-negative bacteria is a novel envelope stress response. *Mol. Microbiol.* 63, 545–558. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2958.2006.05522.x

McMahon, K. J., Castelli, M. E., García Vescovi, E., and Feldman, M. F. (2012). Biogenesis of outer membrane vesicles in serratia marcescens is thermoregulated and can be induced by activation of the rcs phosphorelay system. *J. Bacteriol.* 194, 3241–3249. doi: 10.1128/JB.00016-12

Molina-Tijeras, J. A., Gálvez, J., and Rodríguez-Cabezas, M. E. (2019). The immunomodulatory properties of extracellular vesicles derived from probiotics: A novel approach for the management of gastrointestinal diseases. *Nutrients* 11 (5), 1038. doi: 10.3390/nu11051038

Moriano-Gutierrez, S., Bongrand, C., Essock-Burns, T., Wu, L., Mcfall-Ngai, M. J., and Ruby, E. G. (2020). The noncoding small RNA SsrA is released by vibrio fischeri and modulates critical host responses. *PloS Biol.* 18, e3000934. doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.3000934

Mozaheb, N., and Mingeot-Leclercq, M.-P. (2020). Membrane vesicle production as a bacterial defense against stress. *Front. Microbiol.* 11. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2020.600221

Mulcahy, L. A., Pink, R. C., and Carter, D. R. (2014). Routes and mechanisms of extracellular vesicle uptake. J. Extracell. Vesicles 3 (1), 24641. doi: 10.3402/jev.v3.24641

Nagakubo, T., Nomura, N., and Toyofuku, M. (2019). Cracking open bacterial membrane vesicles. *Front. Microbiol.* 10, 3026. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2019.03026

Nahui Palomino, R. A., Vanpouille, C., Laghi, L., Parolin, C., Melikov, K., Backlund, P., et al. (2019). Extracellular vesicles from symbiotic vaginal lactobacilli inhibit HIV-1 infection of human tissues. *Nat. Commun.* 10, 5656. doi: 10.1038/ s41467-019-13468-9 Nakao, R., Takashiba, S., Kosono, S., Yoshida, M., Watanabe, H., Ohnishi, M., et al. (2014). Effect of porphyromonas gingivalis outer membrane vesicles on gingipain-mediated detachment of cultured oral epithelial cells and immune responses. *Microbes Infect.* 16, 6–16. doi: 10.1016/j.micinf.2013.10.005

O'Donoghue, E. J., Sirisaengtaksin, N., Browning, D. F., Bielska, E., Hadis, M., Fernandez-Trillo, F., et al. (2017). Lipopolysaccharide structure impacts the entry kinetics of bacterial outer membrane vesicles into host cells. *PloS Pathog.* 13, e1006760. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1006760

Olofsson, A., Nygård Skalman, L., Obi, I., Lundmark, R., and Arnqvist, A. (2014). Uptake of helicobacter pylori vesicles is facilitated by clathrin-dependent and clathrin-independent endocytic pathways. *mBio* 5, e00979–e00914. doi: 10.1128/mBio.00979-14

Park, K. S., Lee, J., Jang, S. C., Kim, S. R., Jang, M. H., Lötvall, J., et al. (2013). Pulmonary inflammation induced by bacteria-free outer membrane vesicles from pseudomonas aeruginosa. *Am. J. Respir. Cell Mol. Biol.* 49, 637–645. doi: 10.1165/ rcmb.2012-0370OC

Park, K. S., Svennerholm, K., Crescitelli, R., Lässer, C., Gribonika, I., and Lötvall, J. (2021). Synthetic bacterial vesicles combined with tumour extracellular vesicles as cancer immunotherapy. J. Extracell. Vesicles 10, e12120. doi: 10.1002/jev2.12120

Peng, L. H., Wang, M. Z., Chu, Y., Zhang, L., Niu, J., Shao, H. T., et al. (2020). Engineering bacterial outer membrane vesicles as transdermal nanoplatforms for photo-TRAIL-programmed therapy against melanoma. *Sci. Adv.* 6, eaba2735. doi: 10.1126/sciadv.aba2735

Pérez-Cruz, C., Carrión, O., Delgado, L., Martinez, G., López-Iglesias, C., and Mercade, E. (2013). New type of outer membrane vesicle produced by the gramnegative bacterium shewanella vesiculosa M7T: implications for DNA content. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 79, 1874–1881. doi: 10.1128/AEM.03657-12

Pérez-Cruz, C., Delgado, L., López-Iglesias, C., and Mercade, E. (2015). Outerinner membrane vesicles naturally secreted by gram-negative pathogenic bacteria. *PloS One* 10, e0116896. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0116896

Plaza-Díaz, J., Ruiz-Ojeda, F. J., Vilchez-Padial, L. M., and Gil, A. (2017). Evidence of the anti-inflammatory effects of probiotics and synbiotics in intestinal chronic diseases. *Nutrients* 9 (6), 555. doi: 10.3390/nu9060555

Prados-Rosales, R., Baena, A., Martinez, L. R., Luque-Garcia, J., Kalscheuer, R., Veeraraghavan, U., et al. (2011). Mycobacteria release active membrane vesicles that modulate immune responses in a TLR2-dependent manner in mice. *J. Clin. Invest.* 121, 1471–1483. doi: 10.1172/JCI44261

Puca, V., Ercolino, E., Celia, C., Bologna, G., Di Marzio, L., Mincione, G., et al. (2019). Detection and quantification of eDNA-associated bacterial membrane vesicles by flow cytometry. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 20, 5307. doi: 10.3390/ijms20215307

Qing, S., Lyu, C., Zhu, L., Pan, C., Wang, S., Li, F., et al. (2020). Biomineralized bacterial outer membrane vesicles potentiate safe and efficient tumor microenvironment reprogramming for anticancer therapy. *Adv. Mater.* 32, e2002085. doi: 10.1002/adma.202002085

Rath, P., Huang, C., Wang, T., Wang, T., Li, H., Prados-Rosales, R., et al. (2013). Genetic regulation of vesiculogenesis and immunomodulation in mycobacterium tuberculosis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 110, E4790–E4797. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1320118110

Remis, J. P., Wei, D., Gorur, A., Zemla, M., Haraga, J., Allen, S., et al. (2014). Bacterial social networks: structure and composition of myxococcus xanthus outer membrane vesicle chains. *Environ. Microbiol.* 16, 598–610. doi: 10.1111/1462-2920.12187

Resch, U., Tsatsaronis, J. A., Le Rhun, A., Stübiger, G., Rohde, M., Kasvandik, S., et al. (2016). A two-component regulatory system impacts extracellular membranederived vesicle production in group a streptococcus. *mBio* 7 (6), e00207–16. doi: 10.1128/mBio.00207-16

Riquelme, E., Zhang, Y., Zhang, L., Montiel, M., Zoltan, M., Dong, W., et al. (2019). Tumor microbiome diversity and composition influence pancreatic cancer outcomes. *Cell* 178, 795–806.e12. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2019.07.008

Rivera, J., Cordero, R. J., Nakouzi, A. S., Frases, S., Nicola, A., and Casadevall, A. (2010). Bacillus anthracis produces membrane-derived vesicles containing biologically active toxins. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 107, 19002–19007. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1008843107

Rodriguez, G. M., and Prados-Rosales, R. (2016). Functions and importance of mycobacterial extracellular vesicles. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 100, 3887–3892. doi: 10.1007/s00253-016-7484-x

Roier, S., Zingl, F. G., Cakar, F., Durakovic, S., Kohl, P., Eichmann, T. O., et al. (2016). A novel mechanism for the biogenesis of outer membrane vesicles in gramnegative bacteria. *Nat. Commun.* 7, 10515. doi: 10.1038/ncomms10515

Rubin, D. C., Shaker, A., and Levin, M. S. (2012). Chronic intestinal inflammation: inflammatory bowel disease and colitis-associated colon cancer. *Front. Immunol.* 3, 107. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2012.00107

Schlatterer, K., Beck, C., Hanzelmann, D., Lebtig, M., Fehrenbacher, B., Schaller, M., et al. (2018). The mechanism behind bacterial lipoprotein release: Phenolsoluble modulins mediate toll-like receptor 2 activation *via* extracellular vesicle release from staphylococcus aureus. *mBio* 9. doi: 10.1128/mBio.01851-18 Schwechheimer, C., and Kuehn, M. J. (2013). Synthetic effect between envelope stress and lack of outer membrane vesicle production in escherichia coli. J. Bacteriol. 195, 4161–4173. doi: 10.1128/JB.02192-12

Schwechheimer, C., and Kuehn, M. J. (2015). Outer-membrane vesicles from gram-negative bacteria: biogenesis and functions. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 13, 605–619. doi: 10.1038/nrmicro3525

Seo, M. K., Park, E. J., Ko, S. Y., Choi, E. W., and Kim, S. (2018). Therapeutic effects of kefir grain lactobacillus-derived extracellular vesicles in mice with 2,4,6-trinitrobenzene sulfonic acid-induced inflammatory bowel disease. *J. Dairy Sci.* 101, 8662–8671. doi: 10.3168/jds.2018-15014

Siebert, C., Lindgren, H., Ferré, S., Villers, C., Boisset, S., Perard, J., et al. (2019). Francisella tularensis: FupA mutation contributes to fluoroquinolone resistance by increasing vesicle secretion and biofilm formation. *Emerg. Microbes Infect.* 8, 808– 822. doi: 10.1080/22221751.2019.1615848

Sjöström, A. E., Sandblad, L., Uhlin, B. E., and Wai, S. N. (2015). Membrane vesicle-mediated release of bacterial RNA. *Sci. Rep.* 5, 15329. doi: 10.1038/ srep15329

Smits, H. H., Engering, A., van der Kleij, D., De Jong, E. C., Schipper, K., Van Capel, T. M., et al. (2005). Selective probiotic bacteria induce IL-10-producing regulatory T cells *in vitro* by modulating dendritic cell function through dendritic cell-specific intercellular adhesion molecule 3-grabbing nonintegrin. *J. Allergy Clin. Immunol.* 115, 1260–1267. doi: 10.1016/j.jaci.2005.03.036

Surve, M. V., Anil, A., Kamath, K. G., Bhutda, S., Sthanam, L. K., Pradhan, A., et al. (2016). Membrane vesicles of group b streptococcus disrupt feto-maternal barrier leading to preterm birth. *PloS Pathog.* 12, e1005816. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1005816

Tartaglia, N. R., Nicolas, A., Rodovalho, V. R., Luz, B., Briard-Bion, V., Krupova, Z., et al. (2020). Extracellular vesicles produced by human and animal staphylococcus aureus strains share a highly conserved core proteome. *Sci. Rep.* 10, 8467. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-64952-y

Tashiro, Y., Hasegawa, Y., Shintani, M., Takaki, K., Ohkuma, M., Kimbara, K., et al. (2017). Interaction of bacterial membrane vesicles with specific species and their potential for delivery to target cells. *Front. Microbiol.* 8, 571. doi: 10.3389/ fmicb.2017.00571

Toyofuku, M., Cárcamo-Oyarce, G., Yamamoto, T., Eisenstein, F., Hsiao, C. C., Kurosawa, M., et al. (2017). Prophage-triggered membrane vesicle formation through peptidoglycan damage in bacillus subtilis. *Nat. Commun.* 8, 481. doi: 10.1038/s41467-017-00492-w

Toyofuku, M., Nomura, N., and Eberl, L. (2019). Types and origins of bacterial membrane vesicles. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 17, 13–24. doi: 10.1038/s41579-018-0112-2

Tran, F., and Boedicker, J. Q. (2017). Genetic cargo and bacterial species set the rate of vesicle-mediated horizontal gene transfer. *Sci. Rep.* 7, 8813. doi: 10.1038/ s41598-017-07447-7

Tsatsaronis, J. A., Franch-Arroyo, S., Resch, U., and Charpentier, E. (2018). Extracellular vesicle RNA: A universal mediator of microbial communication? *Trends Microbiol.* 26, 401–410. doi: 10.1016/j.tim.2018.02.009

Tulkens, J., De Wever, O., and Hendrix, A. (2020). Analyzing bacterial extracellular vesicles in human body fluids by orthogonal biophysical separation and biochemical characterization. *Nat. Protoc.* 15, 40–67. doi: 10.1038/s41596-019-0236-5

Turnbull, L., Toyofuku, M., Hynen, A. L., Kurosawa, M., Pessi, G., Petty, N. K., et al. (2016). Explosive cell lysis as a mechanism for the biogenesis of bacterial membrane vesicles and biofilms. *Nat. Commun.* 7, 11220. doi: 10.1038/ ncomms11220

Valadi, H., Ekström, K., Bossios, A., Sjöstrand, M., Lee, J. J., and Lötvall, J. O. (2007). Exosome-mediated transfer of mRNAs and microRNAs is a novel mechanism of genetic exchange between cells. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 9, 654–659. doi: 10.1038/ncb1596

Vdovikova, S., Luhr, M., Szalai, P., Nygård Skalman, L., Francis, M. K., Lundmark, R., et al. (2017). A novel role of listeria monocytogenes membrane vesicles in inhibition of autophagy and cell death. *Front. Cell Infect. Microbiol.* 7, 154. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2017.00154

Veith, P. D., Chen, Y. Y., Gorasia, D. G., Chen, D., Glew, M. D., O'brien-Simpson, N. M., et al. (2014). Porphyromonas gingivalis outer membrane vesicles exclusively contain outer membrane and periplasmic proteins and carry a cargo enriched with virulence factors. *J. Proteome Res.* 13, 2420–2432. doi: 10.1021/ pr401227e

Volgers, C., Savelkoul, P. H. M., and Stassen, F. R. M. (2018). Gram-negative bacterial membrane vesicle release in response to the host-environment: different threats, same trick? *Crit. Rev. Microbiol.* 44, 258–273. doi: 10.1080/1040841X.2017.1353949

Vorkapic, D., Pressler, K., and Schild, S. (2016). Multifaceted roles of extracellular DNA in bacterial physiology. *Curr. Genet.* 62, 71–79. doi: 10.1007/s00294-015-0514-x

Wang, X., Thompson, C. D., Weidenmaier, C., and Lee, J. C. (2018). Release of staphylococcus aureus extracellular vesicles and their application as a vaccine platform. *Nat. Commun.* 9, 1379. doi: 10.1038/s41467-018-03847-z

Wessel, A. K., Liew, J., Kwon, T., Marcotte, E. M., and Whiteley, M. (2013). Role of pseudomonas aeruginosa peptidoglycan-associated outer membrane proteins in vesicle formation. *J. Bacteriol.* 195, 213–219. doi: 10.1128/JB.01253-12

White, D. W., Elliott, S. R., Odean, E., Bemis, L. T., and Tischler, A. D. (2018). Mycobacterium tuberculosis Pst/SenX3-RegX3 regulates membrane vesicle production independently of ESX-5 activity. *mBio* 9. doi: 10.1128/mBio.00778-18

Yamasaki-Yashiki, S., Miyoshi, Y., Nakayama, T., Kunisawa, J., and Katakura, Y. (2019). IgA-enhancing effects of membrane vesicles derived from lactobacillus sakei subsp. sakei NBRC15893. *Biosci. Microbiota. Food Health* 38, 23–29. doi: 10.12938/bmfh.18-015

Yang, J., Kim, E. K., Mcdowell, A., and Kim, Y. K. (2018). Microbe-derived extracellular vesicles as a smart drug delivery system. *Transl. Clin. Pharmacol.* 26, 103–110. doi: 10.12793/tcp.2018.26.3.103

Yang, J., Kim, E. K., Park, H. J., Mcdowell, A., and Kim, Y. K. (2020). The impact of bacteria-derived ultrafine dust particles on pulmonary diseases. *Exp. Mol. Med.* 52, 338–347. doi: 10.1038/s12276-019-0367-3

Zavan, L., Bitto, N. J., Johnston, E. L., Greening, D. W., and Kaparakis-Liaskos, M. (2019). Helicobacter pylori growth stage determines the size, protein composition, and preferential cargo packaging of outer membrane vesicles. *Proteomics* 19, e1800209. doi: 10.1002/pmic.201970004

Zavan, L., Bitto, N. J., and Kaparakis-Liaskos, M. (2020). "Introduction, history, and discovery of bacterial membrane vesicles," in *Bacterial membrane vesicles: Biogenesis, functions and applications*. Eds. M. Kaparakis-Liaskos and T. A. Kufer (Cham: Springer International Publishing).

Zhang, Y., Fang, Z., Li, R., Huang, X., and Liu, Q. (2019). Design of outer membrane vesicles as cancer vaccines: A new toolkit for cancer therapy. *Cancers* (*Basel*) 11 (9), 1314. doi: 10.3390/cancers11091314

Zhou, X., Xie, F., Wang, L., Zhang, L., Zhang, S., Fang, M., et al. (2020). The function and clinical application of extracellular vesicles in innate immune regulation. *Cell Mol. Immunol.* 17, 323–334. doi: 10.1038/s41423-020-0391-1

Glossary

A. muciniphila

Continued		
TSMS		
V. cholerae		

σΕ

Tube-shaped membranous structures Vibrio cholerae sigma factor E

B. anthracis	Bacillus anthracis
B. fragilis	Bacteroides fragilis
BEV	Bacterial extracellular vesicles
bp	base pairs
C. elegans	Caenorhabditis elegans
C. jejuni	Campylobacter jejuni
CMV	Cytoplasmic membrane vesicles
CovRs	Control of virulence regulator sensor
CRC	Colorectal cancer
DNA	Deoxyribonucleic acid
DOX	Doxorubicin
DOX-OMV	Doxorubicin-loaded outer membrane vesicles
DSS	Dextran sulfate sodium
E. coli	Escherichia coli
eDNA	Extracellular DNA
EV	Extracellular vesicles
exRNA	Extracellular RNA
H. influenzae	Haemophilus influenzae
HDP	Host defense peptides
H. pylori	Helicobacter pylori
IL	Interleukin
K. pneumoniae	Klebsiella pneumoniae
L. monocytogenes	Listeria monocytogenes
L. reuteri	Lactobacillus reuteri
LPS	Lipopolysaccharide
M. tuberculosis	Mycobacterium tuberculosis
miRNA	Micro RNA
mRNA	Messenger RNA
MV	Membrane vesicles
N. meningitidis	Neisseria meningitidis
O-IMV	Outer-inner membrane vesicles
OM	Outer membrane
OMV	Outer membrane vesicles
P. aeruginosa	Pseudomonas aeruginosa
P. gingivalis	Porphyromonas gingivalis
PBPs	Penicillin-binding proteins
PDAC	Pancreatic adenocarcinoma
RNA	Ribonucleic acid
RNAse	Ribonuclease
S. aureus	Staphylococcus aureus
S. pyogenes	Streptococcus pyogenes
S. Typhimurium	Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium
S. vesiculosa	Shewanella vesiculosa
sRNA	Small RNA
TLR	Toll-like receptor
Treg	Regulatory T cell

Akkermansia muciniphila

(Continued)