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**Table S1**. Changes in meteorology and plant during continuous cropping of Tibetan Barley.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Year** | **Precipitation (mm)a** | **Temperature (oC)b** | **Plant Height (cm)** | **Yields (kg ha-1)** |
| 2011 | 446.6 | 7.41 | 116.73±1.37 a | 19.42±0.74 a |
| 2012 | 456.6 | 7.85 | 108.87±7.25 abc | 17.60±1.15 a |
| 2013 | 394.9 | 8.71 | 109.40±6.20 ab | 16.53±1.00 b |
| 2014 | 596.3 | 7.94 | 103.97±2.93 bc | 15.24±0.62 bc |
| 2015 | 428.1 | 7.83 | 100.10±3.32 bc | 13.47±0.39 cd |
| 2016 | 544.7 | 8.99 | 98.33±2.77 c | 12.40±0.45 d |

a The values were shown as the total precipitations during the growing seasons (March through September of the following year).

b The values were shown as the average monthly temperature during the growing seasons.

c Different letters within a row indicate significant differences at *P* < 0.05.

**Table S2**. Effects of continuous cropping on rhizosphere soil physicochemical characteristics.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Physicochemical factors | Duration of continuous cropping (years) |
| 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| pH | 8.42±0.38 | 8.45±0.15 | 8.52±0.31 | 8.36±0.10 | 8.57±0.22 |
| TP (g·kg−1) | 1.63±0.10**c** | 2.26±0.13**a** | 1.97±0.11**b** | 2.26±0.07**a** | 1.92±0.05**b** |
| TK (g·kg−1) | 20.14±0.33**c** | 22.85±0.59**ab** | 22.01±0.35**b** | 23.26±0.45**a** | 22.95±0.70**ab** |
| AN (mg·kg−1) | 194.25±5.12**a** | 189.25±8.42**a** | 118.75±3.50**b** | 130.00±3.74**b** | 88.75±4.03**c** |
| RAP (mg·kg−1) | 50.15±1.92**c** | 97.68±3.31**a** | 53.83±1.30**c** | 98.05±2.95**a** | 68.90±6.74**b** |
| RAK (mg·kg−1) | 74.18±3.97**b** | 80.33±2.49**ab** | 79.78±9.04**abc** | 87.78±4.31**a** | 61.43±3.01**c** |

Values represent means ± standard deviation (n = 4). Different lowercase letters within the same column indicate significant differences among different continuous cropping durations at *P* < 0.05 according to Duncan’s test.

TN, total nitrogen; TP, total phosphorus, TK, total potassium; AN, available nitrogen; RAP, rapidly available phosphorus; RAK, rapidly available potassium.

**Table S3**. The significant difference between different groups is based on the Bray–Curtis metric distance determined by Adonis, ANOSIM, and MRPP.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Treatment | Three complementary non-parametric multivariate statistical tests |
| Adonis | ANOSIM | MRPP |
| CC6Y | CC3Y | 0.026 | 0.026 | 0.03 |
| CC6Y | CC5Y | 0.031 | 0.029 | 0.032 |
| CC6Y | CC2Y | 0.03 | 0.036 | 0.026 |
| CC6Y | CC4Y | 0.028 | 0.038 | 0.035 |
| CC3Y | CC5Y | 0.028 | 0.031 | 0.033 |
| CC3Y | CC2Y | 0.029 | 0.032 | 0.041 |
| CC3Y | CC4Y | 0.026 | 0.031 | 0.032 |
| CC5Y | CC2Y | 0.025 | 0.031 | 0.033 |
| CC5Y | CC4Y | 0.03 | 0.032 | 0.025 |
| CC2Y | CC4Y | 0.027 | 0.029 | 0.026 |

Differences between groups were considered significant when *P* ≤ 0.05.

**Table S4**. The changes of the 20 most abundant OTUs during continuous cropping.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **OTU ID** | **Taxonomic assignment** | **Relative abundance \*** |
| **CC2Y** | **CC3Y** | **CC4Y** | **CC5Y** | **CC6Y** |
| OTU1 | Unclassified *Capnodiales* | 4.69%±0.23%c | 4.59%±0.90%c | 8.93%±0.62%a | 6.54%±0.83%b | 9.25%±1.17%a |
| OTU2 | Unclassified *Hypocreales* | 9.30%±1.06%b | 12.59%±1.97%a | 2.56%±0.07%c | 2.62%±0.07%c | 5.40%±2.26%c |
| OTU3 | Unclassified Fungi | 15.87%±0.69%a | 16.10%±3.19%a | 0.07%±0.01%b | 0.09%±0.01%b | 0.08%±0.03%b |
| OTU4 | *Fusarium oxysporum* | 8.90%±0.63%a | 4.97%±0.53%b | 2.68%±0.54%c | 5.04%±0.46%b | 2.66%±0.16%c |
| OTU5 | Unclassified *Pleosporales* | 3.72%±0.57%a | 1.59%±0.66%b | 4.42%±0.03%a | 4.47%±0.21%a | 3.66%±0.28%a |
| OTU6 | *Mucor endophyticus* | 0.54%±0.03%c | 1.08%±0.38%c | 3.47%±0.59%b | 5.51%±1.02%a | 0.71%±0.18%c |
| OTU7 | Unclassified *Basidiomycota* | 0.00%±0.00%b | 1.92%±1.64%b | 8.41%±1.60%a | 0.00%±0.00%b | 0.03%±0.06%b |
| OTU8 | *Parastagonospora* sp. | 0.99%±0.05%c | 1.24%±0.30%c | 2.84%±0.14%a | 2.57%±0.06%ab | 2.21%±0.19%b |
| OTU9 | Unclassified *Agaricomycetes* | 1.35%±0.20%bc | 0.41%±0.13%c | 1.85%±0.88%b | 5.31%±1.06%a | 0.46%±0.09%c |
| OTU10 | Unclassified *Xylariales* | 0.00%±0.00%c | 0.46%±0.39%c | 1.97%±0.33%b | 1.33%±0.89%bc | 4.95%±0.91%a |
| OTU11 | *Sarocladium* sp. | 0.57%±0.04%c | 0.57%±0.19%c | 1.88%±0.30%b | 3.27%±0.04%a | 2.19%±0.26%b |
| OTU12 | Unclassified *Pleosporaceae* | 0.64%±0.05%b | 0.70%±0.30%b | 2.28%±0.03%a | 2.32%±0.05%a | 2.17%±0.32%a |
| OTU13 | Unclassified *Tremellales* | 0.66%±0.04%c | 0.93%±0.32%c | 2.15%±0.10%ab | 1.82%±0.14%b | 2.23%±0.15%a |
| OTU14 | Unclassified *Agaricomycetes* | 0.23%±0.06%b | 1.07%±0.57%b | 3.23%±0.59%a | 0.75%±0.39%b | 2.39%±0.39%a |
| OTU15 | Unclassified Fungi | 0.47%±0.35%c | 1.88%±0.27%ab | 0.99%±0.21%bc | 1.80%±0.10%ab | 2.49%±1.08%a |
| OTU16 | *Ustilago* sp. | 0.12%±0.02%b | 0.09%±0.03%b | 0.24%±0.01%b | 1.53%±1.12%b | 5.62%±1.02%a |
| OTU17 | Unclassified Fungi | 1.19%±1.03%b | 5.21%±1.05%a | 0.00%±0.00%b | 0.00%±0.00%b | 0.00%±0.00%b |
| OTU18 | *Tetracladium marchalianum* | 0.40%±0.06%d | 0.99%±0.28%cd | 2.10%±0.13%a | 1.40%±0.06%b | 1.50%±0.13%bc |
| OTU19 | Unclassified *Tremellomycetes* | 0.54%±0.02%d | 0.77%±0.34%c | 2.42%±0.19%a | 1.51%±0.06%b | 1.14%±0.06%b |
| OTU20 | *Cystofilobasidium* sp. | 0.28%±0.01%c | 0.54%±0.20%c | 1.54%±0.00%b | 1.63%±0.21%b | 2.39%±0.25%a |

\* Relative abundance are represented as average ± SD obtained across quadruple measurements. Average with different superscript letters are significantly different horizontally (*P* < 0.05).

**Table S5**. Topological characteristics of the co-occurrence network of the fungal communities for the rhizosphere soils of continuously cropped Tibetan barley.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Topological properties** | **Duration of continuous cropping (years)** |
| 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Number of nodes | 126 | 114 | 117 | 117 | 108 |
| Number of edges | 242 | 304 | 406 | 934 | 863 |
| Ratio of edges to nodes | 1.921 | 2.667 | 3.470 | 7.983 | 7.991 |
| Percentage of positive edges | 35.90% | 43.75% | 53.94% | 49.89% | 53.77% |
| Percentage of negative edges | 64.10% | 56.25% | 46.06% | 50.11% | 46.23% |
| Modularity | 0.51 | 0.22 | 0.34 | 0.49 | 0.35 |
| Network density | 0.031 | 0.047 | 0.060 | 0.138 | 0.149 |
| Network heterogeneity | 2.94 | 2.861 | 2.529 | 1.495 | 1.538 |
| Network centralization | 0.717 | 0.853 | 0.851 | 0.702 | 0.781 |

**Table S6**. Information of the keystone OTUs of fungal networks in rhizosphere soils under the continuous cropping of Tibetan barley.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Network ID** | **OTU ID** | **Betweennesscentrality** | **Closenesscentrality** | **Nodedegree** | **Taxonomic information** |
| **Phylum** | **Class** | **Order** | **Family** | **Genus** | **Specie** |
| CC2Y | OTU39 | 0.49 | 0.95 | 92 | *Ascomycota* | *Dothideomycetes* | *Pleosporales* |  |  |
| OTU61 | 0.49 | 0.95 | 92 | unclassified Fungi |  |  |  |  |  |
| CC3Y | OTU201 | 0.09 | 0.87 | 96 | unclassified Fungi | 　 | 　 | 　 | 　 | 　 |
| OTU34 | 0.09 | 0.87 | 96 | *Ascomycota* |  |  |  |  |  |
| OTU58 | 0.09 | 0.87 | 96 | *Ascomycota* | *Pezizomycetes* | *Pezizales* | *Pezizaceae* | uncultured *Pezizaceae* |
| OTU109 | 0.09 | 0.87 | 96 | *Ascomycota* |  |  |  |  |  |
| OTU66 | 0.09 | 0.87 | 96 | *Ascomycota* | *Eurotiomycetes* | *Chaetothyriales* | *Trichomeriaceae* | *Knufia* |  |
| OTU233 | 0.10 | 0.85 | 93 | *Basidiomycota* | *Agaricomycetes* |  |  |  |
| OTU47 | 0.10 | 0.85 | 93 | *Ascomycota* | *Sordariomycetes* | *Glomerellales* | *Plectosphaerellaceae* | *Verticillium* | *Verticillium alfalfae* |
| OTU22 | 0.10 | 0.85 | 93 | unclassified Fungi |  |  |  |  |  |
| OTU49 | 0.07 | 0.79 | 88 | *Ascomycota* |  |  |  |  |  |
| OTU148 | 0.07 | 0.79 | 88 | unclassified Fungi | 　 | 　 | 　 | 　 | 　 |
| CC4Y | OTU85 | 0.09 | 0.88 | 98 | *Ascomycota* | *Sordariomycetes* | *Hypocreales* | *Bionectriaceae* | *Clonostachys* | *Clonostachys rosea* |
| OTU218 | 0.09 | 0.88 | 98 | *Ascomycota* | *Dothideomycetes* | *Pleosporales* |  |  |
| OTU70 | 0.09 | 0.88 | 98 | *Ascomycota* |  |  |  |  |  |
| OTU11 | 0.09 | 0.88 | 98 | *Ascomycota* | *Sordariomycetes* | *Hypocreales* | *Sarocladiaceae* | *Sarocladium* |
| OTU181 | 0.09 | 0.88 | 98 | *Ascomycota* | *Sordariomycetes* |  |  |  |
| OTU202 | 0.10 | 0.88 | 97 | *Ascomycota* |  |  |  |  |  |
| OTU136 | 0.10 | 0.88 | 97 | *Ascomycota* | *Sordariomycetes* | *Hypocreales* | *Bionectriaceae* | *Geosmithia* | *Geosmithia putterillii* |
| OTU56 | 0.10 | 0.88 | 97 | unclassified Fungi |  |  |  |  |  |
| OTU240 | 0.12 | 0.87 | 95 | unclassified Fungi | 　 | 　 | 　 | 　 | 　 |
| CC5Y | OTU118 | 0.27 | 0.96 | 104 | unclassified Fungi | 　 | 　 | 　 | 　 | 　 |
| OTU111 | 0.27 | 0.96 | 104 | *Ascomycota* |  |  |  |  |  |
| OTU20 | 0.20 | 0.84 | 96 | *Basidiomycota* | *Tremellomycetes* | *Cystofilobasidiales* | *Cystofilobasidiaceae* | *Cystofilobasidium* |
| OTU157 | 0.20 | 0.84 | 96 | *Ascomycota* | *Sordariomycetes* | *Hypocreales* | *Hypocreaceae* | *Kiflimonium* | *Kiflimonium curvulum* |
| CC6Y | OTU66 | 0.38 | 0.81 | 100 | *Ascomycota* | *Eurotiomycetes* | *Chaetothyriales* | *Trichomeriaceae* | *Knufia* |  |
| OTU28 | 0.32 | 0.77 | 99 | unclassified Fungi |  |  |  |  |  |
| OTU256 | 0.28 | 0.75 | 95 | *Ascomycota* | *Orbiliomycetes* | *Orbiliales* | 　 | 　 | *uncultured Orbiliaceae* |