# Supplementary Material for: Resilience of the slow component in timescale separated synchronized oscillators

Melvyn Tyloo

Theoretical Division and Center for Nonlinear Studies (CNLS), Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM 87545, USA (Dated: May 10, 2024)

#### Appendix A: Overview of Mori-Zwanzig formalism

The idea behind the Mori-Zwanzig formalism is to obtain the time-evolution of the resolved variables in the system, which represents only a subset of the total variables. Let us consider, as an example, the following linear system,

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x},$$
 (A1)

where the variables are separated into a resolved  $\mathbf{x}_{rev}$ , and an unresolved part  $\mathbf{x}_{unr}$  as,  $\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{x}_{res}, \mathbf{x}_{unr})^{\top}$ , such that,

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{\mathbf{x}}_{res} \\ \dot{\mathbf{x}}_{unr} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A}_{11} & \mathbf{A}_{12} \\ \mathbf{A}_{21} & \mathbf{A}_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{res} \\ \mathbf{x}_{unr} \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (A2)

One can then write the unresolved part as,

$$\mathbf{x}_{unr}(t) = e^{\mathbf{A}_{22}t} \mathbf{x}_{unr}^{(0)} + \int_0^t e^{\mathbf{A}_{22}(t-t')} \mathbf{A}_{21} \mathbf{x}_{res}(t') dt',$$
(A3)

where  $\mathbf{x}_{unr}^{(0)}$  denotes the initial condition. Then, to obtain the time-evolution of the resolved variables, one can plug Eq. (A3) into the first row of Eq. (A2), such that,

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}}_{\mathrm{res}}(t) = \mathbf{A}_{11}\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{res}}(t) + \mathbf{f}(t) + \int_0^t \mathbf{K}(t - t')\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{res}}(t')\mathrm{d}t',$$
(A4)

where  $\mathbf{f}(t) = \mathbf{A}_{12}e^{\mathbf{A}_{22}t}\mathbf{x}_{unr}^{(0)}$  and  $\mathbf{K}(t - t') = \mathbf{A}_{12}e^{\mathbf{A}_{22}(t-t')}\mathbf{A}_{21}$ . In the situation considered in the main text, the memory kernel  $\mathbf{K}(t - t')$  can be written down explicitly. Moreover, using the timescale separation, one can also calculate the integral. A good introduction is given in [1].

## Appendix B: Convergence to a Dirac- $\delta$ distribution

To obtain Eq. (7) from Eq. (6), we let  $\epsilon \to 0$ . Doing so, a Dirac- $\delta$  appears in Eq. (6) as,

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_0^t \epsilon^{-1} e^{-ct'/\epsilon} f(t') \mathrm{d}t' = \tag{B1}$$

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} [-e^{-ct/\epsilon} f(t) + f(0)] c^{-1} + \int_0^t \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} e^{-ct'/\epsilon} f'(t') c^{-1} dt'$$
  
=  $f(0) c^{-1}$ ,

where c > 0 and we used an integration by parts in the first equality and the dominated convergence theorem. Using this equality, one recovers the result of Eq. (7).

## Appendix C: Details to obtain Eq. (10)

One can calculate the long-time limit of the variance of the deviations  $x_i$  from the synchronized state using the part of Eq. (9) that is orthogonal to  $\mathbf{u}_1$ . One then has,

$$\langle x_i^2 \rangle = \lim_{t \to \infty} \sum_{\alpha, \beta=2}^{N_S} \langle c_\alpha c_\beta \rangle u_{\alpha,i} u_{\beta,i} \tag{C1}$$

$$= \lim_{t \to \infty} \sum_{\alpha,\beta=2}^{N_{\mathcal{S}}} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{t} dt_{1} dt_{2} e^{\lambda_{\alpha}(t-t_{1})} e^{\lambda_{\beta}(t-t_{2})}$$
(C2)
$$\times \sum_{j,k=1}^{N_{\mathcal{S}}} \langle \xi_{j}(t_{1})\xi_{k}(t_{2}) \rangle u_{\alpha,j} u_{\beta,k} u_{\alpha,i} u_{\beta,i} .$$

The two-point correlator of the noise satisfies  $\langle \xi_j(t_1)\xi_k(t_2)\rangle = \eta_0^2 \,\delta_{jk} \exp(-|t_1 - t_2|/\tau_S) + \eta_0^2 [\mathbf{J}_{S\mathcal{F}}\mathbf{J}_{\mathcal{F}\mathcal{F}}^{-2}\mathbf{J}_{\mathcal{F}S}]_{jk} \exp(-|t_1 - t_2|/\tau_{\mathcal{F}})$ . Using the latter relation and after some algebra, one obtains the variance in the slow component Eq. (10).

### Appendix D: No timescale separation

One can also calculate the variance of the oscillators belonging to S (and also  $\mathcal{F}$ ) when there is no timescale separation. Assuming as previously that the oscillators in S and  $\mathcal{F}$  are subject to noises with correlation times  $\tau_S$  and  $\tau_{\mathcal{F}}$  respectively, and that the standard deviations are homogeneous, one has,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x_i^2 \rangle &= \eta_0^2 \sum_{\alpha,\beta=2}^{N_{\mathcal{S}}+N_{\mathcal{F}}} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{S}} \frac{(\gamma_{\alpha} + \gamma_{\beta} - 2\tau_{\mathcal{S}}^{-1})q_{\alpha,j}q_{\beta,j}q_{\alpha,i}q_{\beta,i}}{(\gamma_{\alpha} + \gamma_{\beta})(\tau_{\mathcal{S}}^{-1} - \gamma_{\alpha})(\tau_{\mathcal{S}}^{-1} - \gamma_{\beta})} \end{aligned} \tag{D1} \\ &+ \eta_0^2 \sum_{\alpha,\beta=2}^{N_{\mathcal{S}}+N_{\mathcal{F}}} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{F}} \frac{(\gamma_{\alpha} + \gamma_{\beta} - 2\tau_{\mathcal{F}}^{-1})q_{\alpha,j}q_{\beta,j}q_{\alpha,i}q_{\beta,i}}{(\gamma_{\alpha} + \gamma_{\beta})(\tau_{\mathcal{F}}^{-1} - \gamma_{\alpha})(\tau_{\mathcal{F}}^{-1} - \gamma_{\beta})} \,, \end{aligned}$$

for i = 1, ..., N, where  $\mathbf{q}_{\alpha}$  are the eigenvectors of the Jacobian Eq. (5), with corresponding eigenvalues  $\gamma_{\alpha}$ .

 F. Caravelli and Y. T. Lin, arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.13653 (2023).