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**Table S1** General information for the overall date used in the meta-analysis.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| No. | Type | Species | *k* | Se | c(Seadd)  (μmol/kg) | c(Segrowth media)  (μmol /kg) | Hg | c(Hgplant)  (μmol /kg) | c(Hggrowth media)  (μmol /kg) | Se/Hg | References |
| 1 | P | Rice | 12 | Ⅵ | 12.66-63.32 | 15.45-66.11 | THg | 0.03-2.24 | 0.16-5.14 | 3.00-421.78 | He et al., 2017 |
| 2 | P | Pak Choi | 24 | Ⅵ | 6.33-31.66 | 8.95-34.28 | THg | 0.37-177.26 | 0.25-15.21 | 0.59-137.54 | Tran et al., 2018a |
| 3 | P | Pak Choi | 24 | Ⅳ | 6.33-31.66 | 8.95-34.28 | THg | 0.28-173.55 | 0.25-15.21 | 0.59-137.54 | Tran et al., 2018b |
| 4 | S | *Allium fistulosum* | 2 | Ⅳ | 379.94 | 379.94 | THg | 0.52-125.85 | 74.78 | 5.08 | Afton & Caruso, 2009 |
| 5 | P, S | Tomato | 120 | Ⅳ, Ⅵ | 6.33-75.99 | 6.33-75.99 | THg | 0.01-2.40 | 9.97-24.93 | 0.25-7.62 | Shanker et al., 1996a |
| 6 | P, S | Radish | 80 | Ⅳ, Ⅵ | 6.33-75.99 | 6.33-75.99 | THg | 0.08-14.35 | 9.97-24.93 | 0.25-7.62 | Shanker et al., 1996b |
| 7 | H | Gallic | 90 | Ⅳ, Ⅵ | 0.13-1266.46 | 0.13-1266.46 | THg | 0.00-5.32 | 0.05-498.53 | 0.00-25404.00 | Zhao et al., 2013 |
| 8 | P, H | Rice | 53 | Ⅳ | 1.27-126.65 | 1.27-126.65 | THg, MeHg | 0.16-480.40 | 0.00-49.85 | 0.03-2540.40 | Zhao et al., 2014 |
| 9 | F | Rice | 5 | Ⅳ | 0.13-63.32 | 8.87-72.06 | THg | 0.19-0.47 | 1176.53 | 0.00-0.06 | Li et al., 2015 |
| 10 | P, H | Rice | 16 | Ⅳ | 1.00-126.65 | 1.00-72.19 | THg, MeHg | 0.03-10265.72 | 0.23-5.00 | 0.20-314.79 | Wang et al., 2014 |
| 11 | P | Rice | 8 | Ⅳ | 12.66-101.32 | 15.64-104.29 | THg | 0.93-10.68 | 10.23 | 1.53-10.19 | Zhou et al., 2014 |
| 12 | H | Water hyacinth | 3 | Ⅳ | 1.27 | 1.27 | THg | 162.02-3978.26 | 0.25 | 5.08 | Pacheco et al., 2014 |
| 13 | F | Rice | 40 | Ⅳ | 0.13-63.32 | 8.87-72.06 | THg, MeHg | 0.12-84.13 | 1179.67 | 0.01-0.06 | Li et al., 2019 |
| 14 | P | Rice | 8 | Ⅳ, Ⅵ | 37.99 | 45.39 | MeHg | 0.08-1.42 | 10.97 | 4.50 | Wang et al., 2016a |
| 15 | P, Fo | Rice | 54 | Ⅳ, Ⅵ | 6.33-75.99 | 17.86-158.94 | MeHg | 0.02-2.33 | 11.72-0.01 | 0.68-207.14 | Wang et al., 2016b |
| 16 | F | Rice | 48 | Ⅳ | 253.29-6332.32 | 256.71-6335.74 | THg, MeHg | 0.03-8.02 | 16.98 | 15.12-373.05 | Xu et al., 2019 |
| 17 | P, Fo | Rice | 36 | Ⅳ, Ⅵ | 6.33-75.99 | - | IHg | 0.18-13.10 | 11.96 | - | Tang et al, 2017 |
| 18 | P | Rice | 4 | Ⅳ | 126.65-633.23 | - | MeHg, IHg | 0.01-0.16 | - | - | Lv et al, 2021 |
| 19 | P | Rice | 6 | Ⅳ, Ⅵ | 37.99-75.99 | - | MeHg | 0.20-1.88 | - | - | Wang et al., 2018 |
| 20 | F | Rice | 6 | Ⅳ | 253.29-6332.32 | - | THg | 0.19-0.61 | - | - | Yan et al., 2015 |
| 21 | H | Rice | 8 | Ⅳ | 184.90-1266.46 | 0.18-1.27 | THg | 0.84-984.86 | 0.50 | 0.37-2.54 | Zhou et al., 2012 |
| 22 | H | Rice | 2 | Ⅳ | 2.50 | 2.50 | THg | 16.62-1533.94 | 2.50 | 1.00 | Li et al., 2014 |
| 23 | H | Rice | 2 | Ⅵ | 2.50 | 2.50 | THg | 17.79-1499.20 | 2.50 | 1.00 | Zhou & Li, 2019 |
| 24 | P | Rice | 18 | Ⅳ, Ⅵ | 37.99-75.99 | 42.43-77.25 | THg, MeHg | 0.02-0.48 | 12.46 | 3.40-6.20 | Liu et al., 2022 |
| 25 | P | Wheat, Sorghum | 162 | Ⅳ | 12.66-37.99 | 27.28-65.27 | THg | 0.01-1.17 | 0.73-15.69 | 1.74-89.07 | Chen, 2009 |
| 26 | H | Rice | 50 | Ⅳ, Ⅵ | 0.15-0.98 | 0.15-0.98 | MeHg | 0.00-0.07 | 0.10 | 1.50-9.80 | Wang, 2015 |
| 27 | S | *Polygonatum sibiricum* | 26 | Ⅳ | 31.66-1053.08 | 31.66-1053.08 | THg | 4.27-23.53 | 74.78 | 0.42-21.17 | Teng, 2018 |
| 28 | P | Rice, Pak Choi | 56 | Ⅳ | 1.27-126.65 | - | Hg | 0.25-227.53 | - | - | Guo, 2019 |
| 29 | H | Alfalfa | 2 | Ⅳ | 63.32 | 63.32 | THg | 0.39-0.44 | 0.00-7.48 | 8.47 | Chen, 2016 |
| 30 | H | Maize | 2 | Ⅳ | 379.94 | 379.94 | THg | 63.74-84.04 | 249.27 | 1.52 | Du & Yu, 1987 |
| 31 | H | Rice | 2 | Ⅳ | 6.33 | 6.33 | THg | 12.45-1752.89 | 1.50 | 4.23 | Gao et al., 2017 |
| 32 | H | Gallic | 3 | Ⅳ | 126.65 | 126.65 | THg | 25.92-3399.32 | 49.85 | 2.54 | Yang et al., 2009 |
| 33 | P, H | Rice | 87 | Ⅳ, Ⅵ | 2.00-101.32 | 2.00-104.29 | THg | 0.95-513.33 | 0.00-10.23 | 0.20-10.19 | Zhang, 2016 |
| 34 | S | *Gynostemma pentahyllum*, *Ampelopsis grossedentata* | 19 | Ⅳ | 6.33-1266.46 | 6.33-1266.46 | THg | 0.26-13.31 | 24.93 | 0.25-50.81 | Dun, 2016 |
| 35 | S | *Trillium tschonoskii* | 7 | Ⅳ | 63.32-506.59 | 63.32-506.59 | THg | 58.95-178.80 | 49.85 | 1.27-10.16 | Jiang et al., 2016 |
| 36 | P | *Reineckea carnea* | 4 | Ⅳ | 50.66-151.98 | - | THg | 0.96-1.32 | 0.73 | - | Cong et al., 2012 |
| 37 | H | Gallic | 90 | Ⅳ, Ⅵ | 0.13-633.23 | 0.13-633.23 | THg | 0.00-9.56 | 0.05-249.26 | 0.00-12702.00 | Zhao et al., 2020 |
| 38 | Fo | Rice | 12 | Ⅳ | 2.53-63.32 | 5.51-66.30 | THg | 1.84-8.54 | 10.23 | 0.54-6.48 | Zhang et al., 2017 |

# P - Pot culture experiment; H - Hydroponic culture experiment; S - Sand culture experiment; F - Field culture experiment; Fo - Foliar fertilization experiment.

**Table S2** General information for the categorical data used in the meta-analysis.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Variable | | *k* | Articles | Plant  species | Range(Seadd)  (μmol/kg) | Average Concentration(Seadd)  (μmol/kg) | Range(Hggrowth media)  (μmol/kg) | Average Concentration(Hggrowth media)  (μmol/kg) |
| Complete dataset | | 1193 | 38 | 16 | 0.13-6332.32 | 181.87 | 0.00-1179.67 | 69.55 |
| Cultivation method | Pot | 576 | 18 | 7 | 1.27-633.23 | 36.71 | 0.16-207.14 | 17.91 |
|  | Hydroponic | 318 | 13 | 5 | 0.13-1266.46 | 132.15 | 0.00-598.53 | 75.61 |
|  | Sand | 156 | 7 | 8 | 6.33-1583.08 | 196.50 | 0.00-74.78 | 29.93 |
|  | Field | 99 | 4 | 1 | 0.13-6332.32 | 1181.97 | 16.98-1179.67 | 414.95 |
|  | Foliar | 44 | 3 | 1 | 2.53-63.32 | 26.17 | 10.23 | 10.23 |
| Exogenous Se speciation | Se(Ⅳ) | 786 | 32 | 16 | 0.13-6332.32 | 103.63 | 0.00-1179.67 | 81.52 |
|  | Se(Ⅵ) | 401 | 14 | 5 | 0.13-6332.32 | 340.31 | 0.05-498.53 | 47.55 |
| Plant Hg speciation | THg | 959 | 32 | 16 | 0.13-6332.32 | 160.16 | 0.00-1179.67 | 76.94 |
|  | MeHg | 196 | 10 | 1 | 0.13-6332.32 | 310.35 | 0.10-207.14 | 34.24 |
|  | IHg | 38 | 2 | 1 | 6.33-633.23 | 77.14 | 11.96 | 11.96 |
| Se/Hg molar ratio | Se/Hg ≤1 | 187 | 18 | 7 | 0.13-126.65 | 15.95 | 0.50-1179.67 | 282.01 |
|  | 1< Se/Hg ≤3 | 216 | 20 | 11 | 0.13-1266.46 | 75.90 | 0.05-498.53 | 34.83 |
|  | Se/Hg >3 | 509 | 25 | 14 | 0.50-6332.32 | 331.74 | 0.05-74.78 | 11.09 |
| Plant Hg BAF | Root | 329 | 24 | 10 | 0.13-6332.32 | 133.16 | 0.05-1179.67 | 57.59 |
|  | Stem | 70 | 6 | 3 | 0.13-6332.32 | 356.70 | 0.05-498.53 | 110.73 |
|  | Leaf | 89 | 7 | 5 | 0.13-6332.32 | 373.24 | 0.05-498.53 | 92.41 |
|  | Grain | 82 | 10 | 3 | 0.13-6332.32 | 186.33 | 0.05-1179.67 | 181.09 |
| Growth stage | Seedling | 195 | 12 | 5 | 0.15-379.94 | 22.73 | 0.00-249.26 | 8.88 |
|  | Mature | 411 | 17 | 4 | 0.13-6332.32 | 339.55 | 0.23-1179.67 | 132.97 |
| Rice only | | 517 | 22 | 1 | 0.13-6332.32 | 269.20 | 0.00-1179.67 | 92.98 |
| Cultivation method | Pot | 244 | 12 | 1 | 1.27-633.23 | 47.60 | 0.16-207.14 | 30.03 |
|  | Hydroponic | 130 | 8 | 1 | 0.15-126.65 | 12.47 | 0.00-48.85 | 5.06 |
|  | Field | 99 | 4 | 1 | 0.13-6332.32 | 1181.97 | 16.98-1179.67 | 414.95 |
|  | Foliar | 44 | 3 | 1 | 2.53-63.32 | 26.17 | 10.23 | 10.23 |
| Exogenous Se speciation | Se(Ⅳ) | 324 | 17 | 1 | 0.13-6332.32 | 73.57 | 0.00-1179.67 | 149.33 |
|  | Se(Ⅵ) | 187 | 9 | 1 | 0.15-6332.32 | 629.02 | 0.10-207.14 | 20.49 |
| Plant Hg speciation | THg | 283 | 16 | 1 | 0.13-6332.32 | 257.97 | 0.00-1179.67 | 133.03 |
|  | MeHg | 196 | 10 | 1 | 0.13-6332.32 | 310.35 | 0.10-207.14 | 34.24 |
|  | IHg | 38 | 2 | 1 | 6.33-633.23 | 77.14 | 11.96 | 11.96 |
| Se/Hg molar ratio | Se/Hg ≤1 | 71 | 10 | 1 | 0.13-63.32 | 10.76 | 0.50-1179.67 | 473.53 |
|  | 1< Se/Hg ≤3 | 82 | 8 | 1 | 0.15-126.65 | 14.88 | 0.10-49.85 | 8.68 |
|  | Se/Hg >3 | 206 | 12 | 1 | 0.50-126.65 | 535.64 | 0.05-16.98 | 8.67 |
| Plant Hg BAF | Root | 118 | 13 | 1 | 0.13-6332.32 | 131.11 | 0.05-1179.67 | 77.00 |
|  | Stem | 8 | 2 | 1 | 12.66-6332.32 | 1628.99 | 10.23-16.98 | 15.30 |
|  | Leaf | 8 | 2 | 1 | 12.66-6332.32 | 1628.99 | 10.23-16.98 | 15.30 |
|  | Grain | 64 | 9 | 1 | 0.13-6332.32 | 232.20 | 0.23-1179.67 | 229.42 |
| Growth stage | Seedling | 142 | 9 | 1 | 0.15-126.65 | 14.63 | 0.00-49.85 | 4.86 |
|  | Elongation | 19 | 2 | 1 | 2.53-101.32 | 44.13 | 10.23 | 10.23 |
|  | Booting | 27 | 2 | 1 | 2.53-101.32 | 45.12 | 10.23 | 10.23 |
|  | Mature | 329 | 16 | 1 | 0.13-6332.32 | 425.69 | 0.23-1179.67 | 173.38 |
| Plant part | Root | 161 | 18 | 1 | 0.13-6332.32 | 192.88 | 0.00-1179.67 | 61.53 |
|  | Stem | 33 | 5 | 1 | 1.27-6332.32 | 916.96 | 10.23-16.98 | 14.95 |
|  | Leaf | 22 | 3 | 1 | 1.27-6332.32 | 1192.89 | 10.23-16.98 | 16.02 |
|  | Grain | 134 | 16 | 1 | 0.13-6332.32 | 370.84 | 0.23-1179.67 | 172.25 |
| Rice excluded | | 676 | 17 | 15 | 0.13-1583.08 | 119.21 | 0.00-498.53 | 53.19 |
| Cultivation method | Pot | 332 | 7 | 6 | 1.27-151.98 | 28.70 | 0.25-15.69 | 8.03 |
|  | Hydroponic | 188 | 5 | 4 | 0.13-1266.46 | 214.91 | 0.05-498.53 | 124.39 |
|  | Sand | 156 | 7 | 8 | 6.33-1583.08 | 196.50 | 0.00-74.78 | 29.93 |
| Exogenous Se speciation | Se(Ⅳ) | 462 | 16 | 14 | 0.13-1583.08 | 123.66 | 0.00-498.53 | 43.85 |
|  | Se(Ⅵ) | 214 | 5 | 4 | 0.13-1266.46 | 109.60 | 0.05-498.53 | 75.77 |
| Se/Hg molar ratio | Se/Hg ≤ 1 | 116 | 8 | 6 | 0.13-126.65 | 19.12 | 4.99-498.53 | 164.79 |
|  | 1 < Se/Hg ≤ 3 | 134 | 12 | 10 | 0.13-1266.46 | 113.24 | 0.05-498.53 | 50.83 |
|  | Se/Hg > 3 | 339 | 13 | 13 | 1.27-1583.08 | 193.13 | 0.05-74.78 | 12.73 |
| Plant Hg BAF | Root | 211 | 11 | 9 | 0.13-1583.08 | 121.60 | 0.05-498.53 | 46.63 |
|  | Stem | 62 | 4 | 2 | 0.13-1266.46 | 192.53 | 0.05-498.53 | 123.04 |
|  | Leaf | 81 | 5 | 4 | 0.13-1266.46 | 249.22 | 0.05-498.53 | 100.23 |
|  | Grain | 18 | 1 | 2 | 0.13-37.99 | 23.23 | 0.05-15.69 | 9.24 |
| Growth stage | Seedling | 53 | 3 | 4 | 12.66-379.94 | 44.45 | 0.73-249.26 | 19.66 |
|  | Mature | 82 | 2 | 3 | 1.27-126.65 | 27.55 | 0.73-15.69 | 8.66 |